# The Economic Seaweeds of India



 $B_{\text{ULLETIN}}\,N_{\text{o.}}\,20$ 

Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute
September 1970

## I C A R

# BULLETIN OF THE CENTRAL MARINE FISHERIES RESEARCH INSTITUTE (Abbr: Bull. Cent. Mar. Fish. Res. Inst.)

Number 20

### THE ECONOMIC SEAWEEDS OF INDIA

By M. Umamaheswara Rao

September, 1970

CENTRAL MARINE FISHERIES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Marine Fisheries P.O.

Mandapam Camp

Ramanathapuram District

India

THE BULLETIN OF THE CENTRAL MARINE FISHERIES RESEARCH INSTITUTE IS PUBLISHED AT IRREGULAR INTERVALS AS AND WHEN INFORMATION OF A GENERAL NATURE BECOMES AVAILABLE FOR DISSEMINATION.

## CONTENTS

					Page
	FOREWARD				i
I.	INTRODUCTION				1
II.	SEAWEEDS OF ECONOMIC IMPO	ORTANCE		•••	3
III.	SEAWEED PRODUCTS AND THEI	IR USES			6
IV.	TAXONOMY AND DISTRIBUTION	N OF SEAWEE	DS		12
V.	KEY FOR IDENTIFICATION OF T	НЕ			
	IMPORTANT SEAWEED GENERA	AND SPECIES	5	•••	15
	Green algae			•••	15
	Brown algae	•••	•••		17
	Red algae				20
VI.	CHEMICAL STUDIES ON SEAWE	EDS			25
	Mineral constituents			•••	25
	Iodine content			•••	29
	Proteins, peptides and amino acids				29
	Vitamins				33
	Carbohydrates	•••	•••		34
	Quantitative changes in the mineral				
	Constituents and organic extractives				40
VII.	ECOLOGICAL AND BIOLOGICAL	LSTUDIES ON	SEAWEEDS	•••	45
VIII.	SEAWEED RESOURCES AND THE	EIR EXPLOITA	TION		
	ON A COMMERCIAL SCALE		•••	•••	50
IX.	CONCLUSIONS			•••	55
	REFERENCES		•••		57
	APPENDIX: Methods for the extraction	on			
	of agar and algin				i to v

#### **FOREWORD**

Seaweeds are a rich resource for agar and algin which are used in confectioneries, pharmaceuticals, and other industries. Many marine algae are rich in proteins, vitamins, minerals and trace elements. Some of the species are directly utilized as human food or live-stock feed in different parts of the world. War-time shortages of agar for industrial purposes and bacteriological investigations etc., as also similar other products, compelled many countries to search for suitable substitutes or to make use of the indigenous seaweed resources. In this country systematic investigations on problems related to utilization of the seaweed resources have been commenced only in the postwar period. In the past two decades this Institute has been able to collect and collate all the available information on the subject and develop extraction techniques for agar and algin. Taxonomy, ecology and biology of a large number of Indian seaweeds of economic importance have been studied. The available information on varied aspects of seaweed resources and utilization has been compiled and presented in this bulletin. There is growing enthusiasm on the part of the private sector to develop the seaweed industry, although it is centred round at present in the production of agar and algin only. The utilization of edible seaweeds is practically nil. That there are extremely nutritious food algae like *Ulva*, *Porphyra* and *Centroceras* abounding in our waters is well-known. The fact that many of the industrial seaweeds lend themselves to culture in the shallow coastal lagoons etc. promises a bright future if farming techniques are adequately developed. There is, however, an urgent need for undertaking detailed surveys for estimation of the potential yields in respect of different species of economically important seaweeds. It is with great pleasure I wish to place on record my appreciation of the arduous task undertaken by Dr. M. Umamaheswara Rao in preparing this bulletin which, it is hoped, would serve as a useful guide to those interested in commercial utilization and biological researches carried out on the Indian seaweeds. I offer my sincere thanks to all concerned who helped in several ways in bringing out this publication.

Mandapam Camp Sept. 6, 1970. Dr. R. V. Nair,
DIRECTOR,
Central Marine Fisheries
Research Institute.

#### I INTRODUCTION

The two chief types of plants occurring in the marine environment are the algae and sea grasses. These are capable of synthesizing the complex organic substances from the simple inorganic compounds present in sea water. Sea grasses are the seed producing plants which fall into the botanical division of Spermatophyta, whereas marine algae are the primitive group of plants with no true roots, stems and leaves as observed in higher plants and these come under the division Thallophyta. This division also includes certain fungi and bacteria, the latter especially forming an important group in the organic productivity of the sea. Among the algae in the marine habitats, the microscopic and free floating or swimming forms are known as Phytoplankton. The other macroscopic or attached ones, which often grow in the intertidal and subtidal environments, are commonly referred to as Seaweeds.

Most of these marine algae or seaweeds are beautifully coloured and attached to rocks or grow on other plants as epiphytes. A few of them are buried inside the sand and sometimes occur as loose lying communities. Depending upon the type of pigment present in them and other morphological and anatomical characters, macroscopic algae are subdivided into the following four classes:

- 1. Green Algae (Chlorophyceae)
- 2. Brown Algae (Phaeophyceae)
- 3. Red Algae (Rhodophyceae)
- 4. Blue-green Algae (Myxophyceae)

Algae belonging to the first three classes are treated here in detail since they constitute the vast majority of seaweeds of economic importance. Among the blue-green algae, some freshwater species of *Nostoc* are used as food gelly and many others are capable of fixing nitrogen and maintaining the fertility of the soil. Some information available on the marine flowering plants or sea grasses has also been included in this bulletin.

Large quantities of commercially valuable seaweeds are available in the seas around India. Prior to the initiation of work at the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute on economically important seaweeds, very few attempts were made mainly to prepare agar from *Gracilaria* species at the time of Second World War. During the last twenty three years detailed studies have been made on the Indian seaweeds at this Institute and considerable amount of information has been gathered on different kinds of seaweeds available for agar and algin production and for food and manure. As a result of these intensive studies, seaweed industry has sprang up in the country and production of agar and algin has been commenced on a commercial scale. Since the seaweed industry is in the initial stages of development and the resources available along the Indian coast are not fully exploited, several enquiries are coming in recent years to know more about the Indian seaweeds and the products they give. An attempt has, therefore, been made here to bring together the valuable data collected by the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute and other scientific organizations on Indian seaweeds and their utilisation.

Information available on various aspects concerning the taxonony, distribution, ecology, biology and chemistry of the seaweeds of economic importance is presented in different chapters of this bulletin. A key for the identification of important seaweed genera and species is given, together with illustration showing the morphological and anatomical details of the plants. Outlines of the processes for the manufacture of agar and algin on industrial basis developed by different workers are also given at the end of this publication as appendix.

#### II SEAWEEDS OF ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

Various types of green, brown and red seaweeds occurring along the Indian coast and the possibilities of their utilization have been dealt with in the earlier papers published from the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute (Thivy, 1958, 1960; Umamaheswara Rao, 1967, (1970 a). A systematic list of the important and common seaweed genera and species utilized for different purposes is given in the following pages:

Class: CHLOROPHYCEAE

Order: *Ulotrichales*Family: Ulvaceae

Ulva fasciata Delile
U. lactuca Linnaeus
U. rigida Agardh
U. reticulata Forskal

Enteromorpha compressa (Linnaeus) Greville

Order: *Cladophorales*Family Cladophoraceae

Chaetomorpha antennina Kuetzing

Order: Siphonales
Family: Caulerpaceae

Caulerpa racemosa (Forskal) J. Agardh

C. sertularioides (Gmelin) Howe C. taxifolia (Vahl) C. Agardh Caulerpa (Other species)

Family: Codiaceae

Codium adhaerens (Cabr.) C. Agardh C. decorticatum (woodward) Howe C. tomentosum (Hudson) Stackhouse

Class: PHAEOPHYCEAE

Order: *Dictyotales*Family: Dictyotaceae

Dictyota dichotoma (Hudson) Lamouroux

Dictyota (other species)

Padina commersonii Bory

P. gymnospora (Kuetzing) Vickers

P. tetrastromatica Hauk

Order: *Punctariales*Family: Punctariaceae

Colpomenia sinuosa (Roth) Derbis and Solier

Hydroclathrus clathratus (Bory) Howe

Rosenvingea intricata (J. Agardh) Boergesen

Rosenvingea (other species)

Chnoospora minima (Hering) Papenfuss

Order: Fucales

Family: Sargassaceae

Cystophyllum muricatum (Turner) J. Agardh Hormophysa triquetra (Linnaeus) Kuetzing Sargassum johnstonii Setchell and Gardner

S. myriocystum J. Agardh

S. tenerrimum J. Agardh

S. swartzii (Turner) C. Agardh

S. wightii (Greville) J. Agardh

Sargassum (other species)

Turbinaria conoides (J. Agardh) Kuetzing

T. ornata (Turner) J. Agardh

Class: RHODOPHYCEAE Sub-Class: Bangioideae

Order: *Bangiales*Family: Bangiaceae

Porphrya vietnamensis Tanaka and Ho

Order: *Gelidiales*Family: Gelidaceae

\*Gelidiella acerosa (Forskal) Feldmann and Hamel

Order: *Cryptonemiales*Family: Grateloupiaceae

Halymenia floresia (Clemente) C. Agardh Grateloupia filicina (Wulfen) J. Agardh

<sup>\*</sup> This alga has been identified as *Gelidium micropterum* Kuetzing by earlier workers and the same name was used by Thivy (1958, 1960) and in some other publications.

#### G. lithophia Boergesen

Order: *Gigartinales*Family: Gracilariaceae

Gracilaria corticata J. Agardh G crassa (Harvey) J. Agardh G folifera (Forskal) Boergesen G lichenoides (Linnaeus) Harvey G verrucosa (Hudson) papenfuss

Family: Solieriaceae

Sarconema furcellatum Zanardini

Family: Hypneaceae

Hypnea musciformis (Wulfen) Lamouroux

*Hypnea* (Other species)

Family: Gigartinaceae

Gigartina acicularis (Wulfen) Lamouroux

Order: *Rhodymeniales*Family: Rhodymeniaceae

Rhodymenia dissecta Boergesen Rhodymenia (Other species)

Order: Ceramiales

Ceramiaceae

Centroceras clavulatum (C. Agardh) Montagne

Spryidia filamentosa (Wulfen) Harvey

S. fusiformis Boergesen

Family: Rhodomelaceae

Acanthophora spicifera (Vahl) Boergesen

Laurencia papillosa (Forskal) Greville

L. obtusa (Hudson) Lamouroux

Laurencia (Other species)

#### III SEAWEED PRODUCTS AND THEIR USES

Seaweeds are the only source for agar and algin and their use as food, fertilizer and fodder is well known in many parts of the world. Different products obtained from Indian seaweeds and their uses are given in this chapter.

#### Agar

This commercially important product is a colloidal carbohydrate present in the cell walls of certain red algae and it is a mixture of two polysaccharides, agarose and agaropectin. Humm (1951) and Yaphe (1959) have defined agar as a gel forming substance soluble in hot water and requiring one percent solution to set as a gel on cooling.

Important and commonly occurring agarophytes of India are *Gelidiella acerosa*, *Gracilaria lichenoides*, *Gracilaria crassa*, *Gracilaria verrucosa*, *Gracilaria corticata* and *Gracilaria folifera*. The yield and physical properties of the agar extracted from these red seaweeds vary particularly in *Gracilaria* species (see Table 7).

#### **Agaroids**

Gel-like extracts produced from certain types of red seaweeds are commonly known as agaroids. The carrageenans obtained from *Chondrus and Gigartina* species come under this group. Organic sulphate content is very much higher in these compounds and the chemical nature and properties of agaroids are different from agar agar. Pure solutions of agaroids are viscous and do not form gel when cooled as in the case of agar. However, various inorganic and organic solutes alter the properties and increase the gelling power of agaroids as observed in case of *Hypnea* extractive.

Carrageenan yielding plants seem to have not been reported from Indian waters, except for a rare and less abundant species of *Gigartina* (*G. acicularis*)occurring in the intertidal habits. But *Hypnea musciformis*, other spoecies of *Hypnea, Spyridia, Sarconema, Acanthophora, Laurencia* and *Chondria* growing along the Indian coast give gel-like extracts and some preliminary studies have been made at the Central

Marine Fisheries Research Institute on these plants (Pillai, 1957b; Thivy, 1951). From the information available it is evident that the yield of *Sarconema filiforme* extractive is 10% with a gel strength of 5gm/cm<sup>2</sup> and a gelation temperature of 38°C for 1.5% solution (Thivy, 1951). In the extractive of *Hypnea musciformis* (Rama Rao and Krishnamurthy, 1968) gel formation was not seen in 1.0% solution.

#### Algin

Algin or alginic acid is the main polysaccharide occurring in the cell walls of brown algae. It consists of D-manmuronic acid and 2- guluronic acid in various proportions. The sodium, potassium and magnesium salts of alginic acid are soluble in water and they give viscous solutions without gel formation. Calcium alginate and other salts of copper, cobalt, mercury etc. are insoluble in water.

Species of Sargassum, Turbinaria, Cystophyllum, Hormophysa, Dictyota and Padina are some of the brown weeds reported from the Indian waters. Of these, Sargassum is the principal source for the production of algin in the country. In the laboratory tests conducted at the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute Turbinaria was also found to be a good source for algin preparation, but its occurrence is restricted to certain areas of the coastline. The yield of alginic acid varied from species to species and Sargassum tenerrimum, Sargassum wightii, Sargassum swartzii, Sargassum cinereum, Sargassum johnstonii, Turbinaria conoides and Turbinaria ornata are some of the high yielding varieties occurring along the Indian coast.

#### Uses of Agar and Algin

In general both agar and algin serve as stabilizers, emulsifiers, thickeners, body-producers and gelling agents. Agar-agar is often used where firm gel is needed and algin for soft and viscous products. In ice cream industry both agar and algin are used as stabilizing agents to give smooth body and texture to the ice creams and also to prevent the formation of large ice crystals. Similarly these two seaweed colloids are employed in icings to prevent adhesion of the sugar coating to wrappers, in canning industry as coating materials for preserving fish, meat and other products, in the preparation of milk puddings, sherbats,

dental impression materials and agricultural sprays. Some information on the food products requiring agar and their preparation, given by Thivy (1958), is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Food products requiring agar
(From Thivy, 1958)

Food stuff	Quantity of agar used	Method of addition
Ice-cream	1/8 teaspoonful (g)	Dissolved in boiling water and
	per cup of ice-cream	added to warm ice-cream mix
	mix	(Prevent it from melting soon)
Tomato sauce	$\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoonful (1g)	Dissolved in boiling water and
	per Ib. of tomato	added to the sauce towards the
	sauce	end. Boiling after adding agar
		should be avoided.
Jams, Jelly,	one level teaspoonful	Dissolved in boiling water and
Marmalade	(2 g) per Ib. of these	added to the sauce towards the
		end. Boiling after adding agar
		should be avoided.
Blancmange	1 level teaspoonful	Dissolve agar in small amount
(without corn	(3 g) per cup of milk	of water in a double boiler and
flour)	with sugar	pour into warm milk, not voice
		versa.
Lime jelly	1 level teaspoonful	Dissolve agar in the water in a
	(3 g) per cup of water	double boiler, add sugar and
	With sugar and lime-	strain; keep aside and then
	juice	when somewhat cool add lime-
	J-A	juice and pour into mould.

There are certain specific uses for each of these two extractives. For instance agar is used in smoking tobacco and fruit cakes to serve as moisture retaining agent, in confectionary industry for making gelly candies, in drawing tungsten wires as a lubricant, in hectograph duplicators, in photofilms and plates. It is also widely used as microbiological culture medium, therapeutic agent in constipation and as coating material for capsules.

Algin is used for sizing textiles and paper, thickening textile paints and for boiler water treatment. This is the most useful colloidal carbohydrate in cosmetic industry for preparing creams, beauty milks, mouth washes, hair pomades, tooth pastes etc. it is also used in the

preparation of tablets and pills as granulating and binding agents, in rubber industry as a creaming agent to separate the rubber, in the manufacture of lignite briquetts, in liquor clarification, in varnishes, paints, adhesives, leather polishing materials etc. Sodium alginate and other salts are employed in the manufacture of seaweed rayon. Studies were made on alginic acid sulphate and its salts to use them as blood anti-coagulants.

#### **Algal proteins**

Some green and red seaweeds such as *Ulva fasciata*, *Ulva rigida*, *Porphyra vietnamensis*, *Acanthophora muscoides* and *Centroceras clavulatum* are very rich in proteins. These algal proteins have many essential amino acids, including iodine containing amino acids. Studies have shown that the weeds mentioned above contain 16-30% of protein on dry weight basis and this amount is somewhat higher than in other food materials like cereals, eggs and fish (Visweswara Rao, 1964). Protein concentrates can be prepared by extracting protein from these green and red seaweeds, and dry powders of *Ulva*, *Porphyra*, *Acanthophora* etc. can be added to various foods of protein deficiency or consumed in small quantities along with the other food stuffs.

#### Seaweeds as food

Fresh, dried and processed seaweeds are utilized as human food and for decorating the dishes. The algal carbohydrates are not easily digestable and the food value of the seaweeds depends on the minerals, trace elements, proteins and vitamins present in them. Majority of the seaweeds listed in chapter II are used as food in Japan, Indonesia, Ceylon, China, Philippines and other countries of the Indo-Pacific region. There are large industries in Japan on edible seaweeds like *Porphyra* (Laver or Nori). Thin algal sheets are prepared by washing and drying *Phorpyra* plants. The product thus obtained is an important food item and it costs 4.80 to 8.00 U.S. dollars per pound.

Species of Ulva, Enteromorpha, Chaetomorpha, Caulerpa, Codium, Dictyota, Padina, Colpomenia, Hydroclathrus, Rosenvingea, Chnoospora, Sargassum, Turbinaria, Porphyra, Halymenia, Grateloupia, Gracilaria, Hypnea, Rhodymenia, Centroceras, Acanthophora, Laurencia are some of the edible

weeds found in different localities along the Indian coast. The important edible red seaweed *Porphyra* has so far been reported from Madras (Boergesen, 1937 b), Visakhapatnam and Cape Comorin (Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramulu, 1963; Umamaheswara Rao, 1968). The same alga was collected recently from Colachel area on the west coast (Umamaheswara Rao, unpublished).

The only report available on the utilization of algae as food in the country is that of *Gracilaria lichenoides*. In the coastal districts of Tamil Nadu this weed is used for the preparation of porridge or "Kanji".

#### Seaweed meal

As seaweeds are cheap sources for minerals and trace elements, meals prepared from seaweeds can be utilized as supplements to daily rations of the cattle, poultry and other farm animals. Seaweed meals can be prepared from *Ulva*, *Enteromorpha Gracilaria*, *Hypnea*, *Sargassum*, *Padina*, *Dictyota* etc. by pulverizing the cleaned and washed weeds. A simple method has been described by Thivy (1960) for the preparation of seaweed meal from *Gracilaria lichenoides*.

#### **Seaweed manure**

From time immemorial seaweeds have been used as manure in the coastal areas. Important feature of seaweed manure is that the minerals and trace elements occur in water soluble form and when the manure is applied these chemical constituents are readily absorbed by the plants. Carbohydrates and other organic matter occurring in seaweeds alter the nature of the soil and increase its moisture holding capacity. Deficiency diseases are also controlled by the minerals and trace elements present in the seaweed manure.

Large quantities of seaweeds and sea grasses like *Cymadocea*, *Diplanthera* and *Halophila* can be utilized as manure directly or in the form of compost. A method for composting the seaweeds with cow dung has been described by Thivy (1958; 1960). Field experiments have been conducted in the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute applying

seaweed compost to bhendi, sweet potato, tapioca, brinjal plants (Thivy, 1960) and high yields were obtained from these vegetables crops. In certain coastal areas of Tamil Nadu, cast ashore weeds are used as manure for coconut plantations.

#### Medicinal uses of seaweeds

There are some reports in the literature about the medicinal value of seaweeds. Species of *Sargassum* were used for cooling and blood cleansing effect. *Hypnea musciformis* was employed as vermifuge or worm expelling agent and *Centroceras clavulatum* as cathartic agent. Seaweeds rich in iodine such as *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Sarconema* can also be used for controlling goitre, diseases caused by the enlargement of thyroid gland.

#### IV TAXONOMY AND DISTRIBUTION OF SEAWEEDS

Although there are no detailed systematic accounts for the identification of commercially valuable seaweeds, certain amount of information has been gathered on the taxonomy of Indian marine algae in recent years. A brief account on the taxonomy and distribution of seaweeds is given in this chapter.

#### **Taxonomy**

Studies conducted on Indian algae have been reviewed from time to time by Agharkar (1923), Iyengar (1957), Biswas (1932; 1934), Joshi (1949), Randhawa (1960) and Srinivasan (1965). Our earliest knowledge on Indian marine algae is largely due to the explorative voyages conducted in the seas around India and from the collections made by the missionaries and other westerners who visited our country (Srinivasan, 1965). In 1930 Boergesen came to India and based on the extensive and valuable collections of Prof. M.O.P. Iyengar and his own collections from different localities of the east and west coasts of India, he published a series of papers on the green, brown and red algae of the northern parts of the sea coast (Boergesen, 1930, 1931, 1932a, b, 1933 a, b, 1934 a, b, 1935) and on brown and red algal flora of South India (Boergesen, 1937 a, b, 1938).

After the valuable contributions of Boergesen, some work has been done on the morphology and taxonomy of Indian marine algae during the last two decades. Many of these investigations have been published in various scientific journals and only relevant references on the systematic lists and other papers, which give the composition of the algal flora of different localities, are given here. Srinivasan (1946) studied the marine algal flora of Mahabalipuram near Madras and information on the algae of Chilka Lake is available in a paper published by Parija and Parija (1946). A general review of the marine algae of the west coast has been given by Biswas (1948). Srinivasan (1960) gave a detailed account on the marine algae of the east and west coasts of India based on the earlier reports available. According to the estimate given by him 162 genera and 413 species of marine algae are known from the Indian waters. Algal flora of the Krusadai Island has been

listed (Chacko *et al.*, 1955) and the seaweeds growing on the pearl and chank beds off Tuticorin have been studied (Varma, 1961). Descriptions of the Indian species of *Turbinaria* are given by Taylor (1964). Monographs on different groups of algae are now being published by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi and very recently a monograph on the brown algae occurring along the Indian coast has been prepared by Misra (1966). Srinivasan (1966) published a comprehensive account on the Indian *Sargassum* species. From the collections made in the vicinity of Mandapam and other materials available in the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, a list of 180 algae has been prepared by Umamaheswara Rao (1962a). Some recent contributions give information on the composition of marine algae of Gopnath (Sreenivasa Rao and Kale, 1969) and Gulf of Kutch (Gopalkrishnan, 1969). An annotated list of 80 algae growing along the Visakhapatnam coast has been published by Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramulu (1970a).

#### Distribution of seaweeds

Seaweeds are confined to narrow littoral and sublitoral belts of the marine environment. As in land plants, they require certain environmental conditions for proper growth and establishment in different regions of the coast line. But the topography, physical nature of the substratum, salinity, currents, tidal action and other factors of the marine environment vary in different parts of the coast line and as a result of these fluctuations marked changes occur in the distribution and abundance of different kinds of seaweeds. Among the factors mentioned above the substratum that is used by majority of the plants as an anchoring surface, plays a key role and the luxuriance of seaweed growth largely depends on the availability of suitable substratum in the littoral and sublittoral areas of the coast line.

All along the 5,689 km long coastline of India, rocky or coral formations occur in Tamil Nadu and Gujarat States and in the vicinity of Bombay, Karwar, Ratnagiri, Goa, Vizhinjam, Varkala, Visakhapatnam and a few other places like Chilka and Pulicat Lakes. Indian seaweed resources are limited to these areas of the coastline and other places such as Laccadives, Andaman and Nicobar Islands occurring in the Indian waters. The coastal areas of Tamil Nadu and Gujarat States are

the important seaweed growing regions in the country. Harvestable quantities of seaweeds grow in other areas of the east and west coasts and in the Laccadives, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.

General distribution of various kinds of marine algae on the east and west coasts has been reported by Srinivasan (1960). Distribution of the economically important seaweeds of India is given by Thivy (1959) and the agar and algin yielding seaweeds by Umamaheswara Rao (1969 b). From these studies it is clear that the horizontal distribution of different plants varied in relation to the natural habitats. For instance, *Gelidiella acerosa* has been found only on the coral formations of the Tamil Nadu coast and also at Gujarat. Many *Gracilaria* species have been reported from the localities between Mandapam and Cape Comorin. Some plants like *Sargassum*, *Gracilaria corticata*, *Ulva*, *Enteromorpha*\_and *Chaetomorpha* which thrive in the littoral habitats, have a continuous distribution all along the intertidal rocky surfaces of the coast line.

# V KEY FOR IDENTIFICATION OF THE IMPORTANT SEAWEED GENERAAND SPECIES

A simple key for identification of the different genera and some species of green brown and red seaweeds listed in the earlier chapter is given here. As far as possible, external and internal characters of the vegetative plants are used in the preparation of this key. Illustrations are also given at the end for easy identification of the different genera and species (Text figs. 1-38 and Plate figs. 1-11).

#### **GREEN ALGAE**

### Key to the genera and species

1	Plants multicellular, main fronds and branch	nes		
	Consisting of small cells .			2
1.	Plants non cellular, coenocytic .	•••		3
2.	Plants unbranched with one cell thick cell r	ows,		
	filamentous, brush-like, attached by long ba	asal		
	cells with constriction .	•••	••••	Chaetomorpha
				antennina
2.	Plants branched, not filamentous .	•••	•••	4
3.	Plants differentiated into roots,			
	horizontal stems and erect foliar elements, l	branched fila-		
	ments or trabeculae arising from the inner			
	wall of the fronds		•••	Caulerpa(I)
3.	Plants erect or prostrate with interwoven fi	la-		
	ments and enlarged sac-like structures or u	tricles	•••	Codium (II)
4.	Adult plants usually tubular with one cell th	ick		
	membrane, more or less compressed, profu	usely		
	branched at the basal parts .	•••	••••	Enteromorpha
				compressa
4.	Adult plants not tubular, flat, foliaceous			
	and thallus two cells thick .	•••	•••	Ulva spp. (III)

# I. Key to the species of Caulerpa

1	Erect fronds with much crowded branchle	ets		
	arising fom all sides, branchlets swollen,			
	sub spherical, with or without a stalk		•••	C. racemosa
1.	Erect fronds with branchlets in two rows			2
2.	Branchlets flat, constricted at the base			
	and sickle-shaped	•••		C. taxifolia
2.	Branchlets cylindrical with pointed tips an	ıd		
	closely arranged in the form of a feather			C. sertularioides
	II. Key to t	he specie	s of <i>Codium</i>	
1.	Plants prostrate with irregularly lobed and	l		
	spongy thallus, utricles 50-70/u and rarely	<b>y</b>		
	100/u in diameter	•••	•••	C. adherens
1.	Plants erect and repeatedly branched		•••	2
2.	Plants cylindrical, dichotomously branche	d		
	and utricles about 150-200 /u broad		•••	C. tomentosum
2.	Plants compressed, flattening very conspi	<u>-</u>		
	cuous near the forks, utricles $300\text{-}500\text{/u}$			
	broad	•••		C. decorticatum
	III. Key to	the spec	ies of <i>Ulva</i>	
1.	Plants with reticulate or net-like fronds			
	or profusely perforated, often grow inter-			
	mingled with other algae	•••		U. reticulata
1.	Plants variously shaped and attached to			
	rocks with definite holdfasts			2
2.	Fronds delicate, grow as large sheets, cel	ls		
	square or slightly elongated in sectional			
	view of the thallus	•••		U. lactuca
2.	Cells distinctly elongated in sectional			
	view of the thallus	•••		3

3.	Fronds firm and stiff with a distinct		
	holdfast and short cylindrical stipe,		
	usually divided into broad lobes		U. rigida
3.	Thallus divided into narrow ribbon-		
	like lobes $(0.5-2.5 \text{ cm broad})$ with		
	pale green central portion	 	U. fasciata

## BROWNALGAE

# Key to the genera and species

	·	•		
1.	Plants large with leaf-like, stem-like			
	organs and vesicles or air bladders		•••	2
1.	Plants small with different shapes of Tha	lli		3
2.	Vesicles or air bladders immersed in			
	the leaves or branches	•••		4
2.	Vesicles or air bladders not immersed			
	in the leaves	•••		5
4.	Fronds angular, winged, compressed, of	ten		
	spinulose and irregularly branched		••••	Hormophysa
				triquetra
4.	Plants attached by branching haptera			
	with turbinate or obconical leaves		•••	$\mathit{Turbinaria}\left(\mathrm{I}\right)$
5.	Vesicles or air bladders single		•••	Sargassum (II)
5.	Vesicles seriate with beaded appearance	<b>)</b> ,		
	stems covered with short processes givin	ng		
	muricated appearance			Cystophyllum
				muricatum
3.	Plants flat with terminal growth, often			
	2-4 cells thick, cells regularly arranged			
	in sectional view			6
3.	Plants not flat with intercalary growth,			
	many cells thick, parenchymatous and			
	cells irregularly arranged		•••	7

6.	Plants ribbon-like, regularly dichotomous	with		
	narrow angles of 15°-45° near the forks a	nd long		
	internodes, thallus 3-5 mm broad with en	tire and		
	somewhat proliferous margins, three cells	s thick in		
	sectional view, groups of hairs and reprod	luctive		
	structures scattered over the surface of th	e frond	•••	Dictyota
				dichotoma
6.	Plants fan-shaped, apical margin of the tha	allus		
	rolled inward, hairs and reproductive stru	ct-		
	ures arranged in concentric rings			Padina (III)
7.	Plants clathrate, spongy and net-like			Hydroclathrus
				clathratus
7.	Plants not net-like or reticulate			8
8.	Plants somewhat dichotomously branched	d with		
	slightly compressed and solid axes, axes			
	2-3 mm broad			Chnoospora minima
8.	Plants tubular or hollow	•••		9
9.	Plants sac-like, spherical or irregularly			
	lobed with crisp texture, plurilocular spo-			
	rangia associated with paraphyses		•••	Colpomenia sinuosa
9.	Plants profusely and irregularly branched	at		
	the upper parts, branches compressed, 2-	-5 mm		
	broad, sporangia not associated with			
	paraphyses	•••	•••	Rosenvingea
				intricate
	I. Key to the	species of Z	Turbinaria	
1.	Plants simple or moderately branched, lea	aves		
	densely packed arising all round the stem	,		
	rounded or somewhat triangular in surface	e		
	view with marginal teeth	•••	•••	T. ornate
1.	Plants generously branched, leaves not			
	closely arranged, triangular or heart-shap	ed		
	with a cylindrical stalk			T. conoides

# II. Key to the species of Sargassum

1.	Inflorescence mixed with receptacles,		
	leaves and vesicles		2
1.	Inflorescence not mixed with receptacles,		
	air bladders and leaves		3
2.	Plants with fluted conical holdfast, leaves		
	narrow (1-0-2.5mm, linear, thick or		
	fleshy with entire margin and blunt tips,		
	vesicles oval or elliptic, receptacles simple		
	or unbranched and somewhat spindle-shaped	S. johnstonii	
2.	Plants delicate with a disc shaped holdfast,		
	leaves broad (3-5 mm), thin, translucent with		
	somewhat dentate margins, vesicles spherical	,	
	receptacles single or branched and spinose	S. tenerrimum	
3.	Stems and branches somewhat flattened with		
	smooth surface, vesicles or bladders large,		
	ellipsoidal or oval on flattered petioles		
	or stalks		4
3.	Stems and branches densely covered with she	ort	
	processes or muricate, vesicles small 1-2 mm		
	broad and densely crowded, leaves 2 cm lon	g and	
	0.5 cm broad below, smaller above with dent	ate	
	margin, receptacles somewhat spinulose and	very	
	much ramified	S. myriocystum	
4.	Receptacles in clusters, repeatedly branched		
	with corymbose or tassel-shaped appearance	S. wightii	
4.	Receptacles not repeatedly branched and not		
	having tassel-like appearance	S. swartzii	
	III Koy to the	species of Padina	
	III. Key to the	species of <i>Padina</i>	
1.	Fructiferous organs found on both sides of a		
	row of hairs, thallus usually four cells thick	P. tetrastromatica	
1.	Fructiferous organs not found on both sides of	of the rows	
	of hairs, thallus two to three cells thick		2

2	Sporangia found just above the rows of						
	hairs			P. commersonii			
2.	Sporangia present at the central part of the						
	thallus occurring in between the rows of						
	hairs		••••	P. gymnospora			
	RED	ALGAE					
	Key to the ge	nera and speci	es				
1.	Plants multicellular with one cell thick and						
	flat thallus, dark violet to mahogany-red in						
	colour, cells with stellate contents, fronds						
	with spinous margin		Poi	rphyra vietnamensis			
1.	Plants multicellular, the whole plant or						
	parts of the plant consisting of a single						
	row of cells			2			
1.	Plants multicellular, the whole plant or parts						
	of the plant many cells thick, often different-						
	tiated into a central medulla and outer cortex			3			
2.	One cell thick and branched filaments or tric	ho-					
	blasts present at the apical parts of the main						
	axes and branches, thallus parenchymatous v	vith					
	uniform sized cells, tetrasporangia formed at						
	the terminal portions of the branches or						
	branchlets, immersed in the thallus		•••	4			
2.	Thallus with one cell thick main axis which is						
	clearly visible in sectional view, covered with						
	cortical cells, tetrasporangia formed at the						
	nodes, often in whorls, not immersed in the th	ıallus	•••	5			
4.	Plants coarse with small and spinous branchl	ets,					
	alternately and spirally arranged, growing apo	ex					
	protruded, spermatangial clusters plate-like		Acnth	ophora spicifera			
4.	Plants erect and bushy, more or less fleshy c	on-					
	sistency, cylindrical or compressed, growing	apex					
	in sunken pits, antheridial clusters present in	the					
	enlarged apical pits of the fertile branchlets		Lau	rencia (I)			

5.	Plants small 3-8 cm in height, filamentous, dichotomously branched, rhizoids one cell thick, main axes completely covered by vertical rows of cor-		
	tical cells, spines arranged in whorls at each segment	Ce	ntroceras clavulatum
5.	Plants 10-20 cm or more in height, irregularly and alternately branched, main axis and branches corticated, thickly covered by rhizoidal filaments, ultimate branchlets uniseriate or one cell thick with small cortical bands at the nodes, internodes colourless, spines often present at the tips of the branchlets		Spyridia (II)
3.	Medulla or central part of the thallus filaments		6
3.	Medulla cellular, not filamentous		7
6.	Plants small, entangled, dark or blackish red in colour, irregularly branched with cylindrical axes, outer portion of the thallus or cortex consisting of anticlinal branched rows of cells, tetrasporangia in sori or in groups	Gigo	artina acicularias
6.	Plants large, stellate or star-shaped cells present between the cortex and filamentous medulla, tetrasporangia not in sori but scattered in the cortex of the thallus		8
8.	Plants firmly gelatinous, flat or cylindrical, pin- nately or radially branched, cortex in sectional view consisting of small anticlinal rows of cells	(	Grateloupias (III)
8.	Plants large, flat, soft and gelatinous, rose-red in colour and pinnately branched, cortex parenchymatous, not arranged in regular rows	H	Ialymenia floresia
7.	Central axis clearly visible in the sectional view of the mature thallus, plants irregularly branched in all directions, and abundantly covered with short branchlets or ramuli, terminal portions of the branches twisted as tendrils, tetrasporangia zonate	hvi	pnea musciformis

7.	Central axis not clearly visible in the sec-			
	tional view of mature or fully grown fronds		••••	9
9.	Thallus with a medulla of very small cells,			
	cortical cells larger than the medullary cells,			
	plants dichotomously branched, brick-red or yello-	-		
	wish-red in colour, tetrasporangia zonate		Sarco	nema furcellatum
9.	Medullary cells larger than the peripheral or			
	cortical cells		•••	
10.	Plants tufted, wiry, erect axes sparsely branched,			
	provided with short determinate branchlets, 2-6			
	mm long, spirally or pinnately arranged, medulla			
	with thick walled cells, 18-30/u in diameter,			
	tetrasporangia in swollen branchlets		••••	Gelidiella acerosa
10.	Plants large, flat or cylindrical, with medulla			
	of large colourless cells 100-500/u in diameter,			
	tetrasporangia scattered in the cortex of			
	the fonds	•••	•••	11
11.	Cystocarps with a parenchymatous gonimoblast			
	surrounded by carpospores, nutritive filaments			
	present connecting the gonimoblast tissue and			
	the pericarp	••••		Gracilaria (IV)
11.	Cystocarps with a mass of carpospores arising			
	from thread-like structure, pericarp loose;			
	plants upto 20 cm tall, di- or subdichotomously			
	branched 3-7 mm broad, proliferations arising			
	from the basal parts of the thallus	•••		Rhodymenia dissecta
	I. Key to the specie	es of <i>Lau</i>	rencia	
1.	Plants cartilaginous, firmly attached to rocks			
	by a broad disc, main axes and branches densely			
	covered by short wart-like branchlets, 2-4 mm			
	broad, peripheral cells of the thallus			
	radially elongated			L. papillosa

1.	Plants soft, attached by small discs or i	chizoids,		
	cylindrical and filiform, pinnately and m	nulti-		
	fariously branched, ultimate branchlets	clavate,		
	thallus 1-2 mm broad, peripheral cells	not		
	radially elongated	•••	••••	L. obtusa
	II. Key to	o the species of	Spyridia	
1.	Plants bushy, ramified on all sides with	long		
	and cylindrical branches, branches not	t con-		
	stricted near the base	•••	•••	S.filamentosa
1.	Plants closely branched at the upper p	arts, arti-		
	culate, main axes moniliform, lateral br	anches		
	short, fusiform and very much constric	eted near		
	the base			S. fusiformis
	III. Key to	the species of (	Grateloupia	
1.	Plants 10-25 cm tall, bushy with cylind	lrical and		
	rarely compressed axes, pinnately, alte	rnately		
	and irregularly branched, fronds 2-3 m	ım in dia-		
	meter, filiform			G. filicina
1.	Plants 10-12 cm tall, firmly attached to	o rocks,		
	thallus compressed, 0.25 to 1.0 cm bro	oad, sinuate,		
	simple and pinnately branched			G. lithophila
	IV. Key to	the species of	Gracilaria	
1.	Plants compressed or flat	•••	•••	2
1.	Plants cylindrical	•••	•••	3
2.	Plants regularly dichotomous with thick	k and		
	cartilaginous fronds, margins entire, rar	ely		
	proliferous	•••	•••	G. corticata
2.	Plants polychotomously, irregularly an	d some-		
	times pinnately branched with thin and	brittle		
	fronds, margins proliferous	•••	•••	G. foliifera

3.	Plants small, succulent, sometimes constricted,		
	branches up to 4.0 mm in diameter, arched and	İ	
	decumbent, developing haptera on reaching th	Э	
	substratum	G. crassa	
3.	Plants not succulent, 30 cm or more in height,		
	fronds thin, 2-3 mm in diameter		4
4.	Plants alternately, irregularly branched,		
	branches hardly constricted, tetrasporangia		
	surrounded by unmodified cortical cells	G. lichenoides	
4.	Plants irregularly and multifariously branched,		
	often grow upto 2.0 m in length, branches		
	constricted below and tapering above, sometim	nes	
	provided with short and spindle-shaped branc	h-	
	lets, tetrasporangia in slightly modified		
	cortex, antheridia in deep cavities	G. verrucosa	

#### VI CHEMICAL STUDIES ON SEAWEEDS

A great deal of chemical work has been done on Indian seaweeds during the last ten or fifteen years. Information so far gathered on the mineral constituents, carbohydrates and other algal chemicals is presented in the following pages.

#### **Mineral constituents**

As seaweeds are used as food and fertilizer, many studies were made on the chemical composition. Some earlier studies on the mineral constituents of algae have been made by Chidambaram and Unny (1947, 1953) and Joseph *et al.* (1948). *Sargassum, Turbinaria* and *Gracilaria* species collected at Madras and analysed by Chidambaram and Unny (1953) have the following composition.

	Sargassum	Turbinaria	Gracilaria
Moisture (air dried)	11.7%	6.13%	10.71%
Loss on ignition	61.63%	63.07%	71.59%
Insolubles	0.17%	0.50%	2.41%
Solubles	26.4%	30.30%	15.29%
Nitrogen	1.02%	0.96%	1.48%

In the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute intensive studies have been made on the chemical composition of the marine algae growing in the vicinity of Mandapam (Pillai, 1955 a, 1956 and 1957 a,b). Detailed information was gathered on the water soluble minerals, trace elements (Pillai 1956), different forms of sulphur, nitrogen and amono acids (Pillai 1957 a,b) occurring in eleven green, brown and red seaweeds namely, *Chaetomorpha linum, Enteromorpha intestinalis, Gracilaria lichenoides, Chondria dasyphylla, Acanthophora spicifera, Laurencia papillosa, Hypnea musciformis, Sarconema furcellatum, Sarconema filiforme, Rosenvingea intricata* and *Padina tetrastromatica*. Recently Sitakara Rao and Tipnis (1967) analysed ten species of marine algae of the Gujarat coast. While working on the preparation of agar from red seaweeds, Kappanna and Visweswara Rao (1963) studies and chemical composition of *Gelidium micropterum* (*Gelidiella acerosa*) and *Gracilaria lichenoides*. To know the nutritive value of the Indian seaweeds, Neela (1956) estimated the

protein, fat, calcium, phosphorus, iron, iodine and Vitamin-C contents in *Gracilaria* sp. *lichenoides*, *Hypnea* species and *Ulva lactuca*. The chemical composition of *Porphyra* growing on Visakhapatnam coast has been worked out by Tewari *et all*. (1968) and data obtained on this important edible weed have been compared with that of Japanese species. Results obtained on the major constituents and trace elements of algae studied by these authors are shown in Table 2 and 3. Pillai (1956) and Sitakara Rao and Tipnis (1967) estimated the water soluble constituents from dry weeds and Kappanna and Visweswara Rao (1963) from the ash of the weed.

With a view to understanding the manurial value of seaweeds, certain chemical studies were made. Seaweeds like *Sargassum* and *Turbinaria* have been composted with fish – offal and shark liver oil sediments in the ratio of 15:3:4 by weight for a period of three months (Chidambaram and Unny, 1947). Chemical analysis of this compost indicated that it contains 2.4% of nitrogen, 3.5 % potash and 0.7% of phosphate. Observations have been made on the nitrifiability of the organic nitrogen from *Ulva lactuca* and drift weeds collected from Veraval (Mehta *et al*, 1967). Pillai (1955b) has carried out some interesting experiments to study the influence of water soluble extracts of seaweeds on the growth of blue green algae. In these investigations considerable increase in growth was noticed when extracts of *Gracilaria lichenoides*, *Chondria dasyphylla* and *Hypnea musciformis* are added to the blue-green algal cultures.

Other chemical studies on Indian seaweeds are those of Langalia, Seshadri and Datar on the alkali contents of marine algae and Sitakara Rao and Tipnis (1967) and Dhandhukia and Seshadri (1969) on the arsenic content of seaweeds. Higher concentrations of arsenic ranging from 14-68 ppm were reported from brown algae, while amounts less than 1-2 ppm were observed in green and red algae (Dhandhukia and Seshadri, 1969). Information regarding the naturally occurring radioactive substances in species of *Enteromorpha, Caulerpa* and *Gracilaria* has been published by Unni (1967).

Table 2

Water soluble minerals in Indian seaweeds (Results are expressed in gm/100 gm of dry weed)

1.16   0.71   0.51   0.41   2.40   0.38   4.00     1.71   1.58   0.63   1.64   0.79   -   12.10     1.71   1.58   0.63   1.64   0.79   -   12.10     1.71   1.58   0.63   1.64   0.79   -   12.10     1.71   0.68   0.34   0.98   0.27   -   7.74     4.82   4.09   0.41   0.12   2.90   -   2.41     4.82   4.09   0.41   0.12   2.90   -   2.41     1.074   2.35   1.19   0.18   15.63   -   5.99     1.28   0.93   0.50   0.50   0.40   0.53   -   1.39     1.20   0.85   0.12   0.04   0.53   -   1.39     1.20   1.25   0.02   0.03   0.84   -   2.54     1.67   7.35   0.02   0.01   1.39   -   1.50     1.67   7.35   0.02   0.01   1.39   -   1.50     1.67   0.02   0.04   0.53   -   0.11     1.67   0.02   0.02   0.01   1.39   -   1.82     1.68   0.11   0.30   0.45   3.58   -   0.11     1.69   0.11   0.30   0.45   3.58   -   0.11     1.60   0.16   0.28   0.07   0.09   1.34   0.73     1.60   0.28   0.07   0.09   1.34   0.73     1.60   0.31   0.40   0.51   0.41   2.40   0.93   2.90     1.60   0.32   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.33   0.55     1.60   0.33   0.55   0.55   0.55     1.60   0.33   0.55   0.55   0.55     1.60   0.33   0.55   0.55   0.55     1.60   0.51   0.51   0.51   0.51     1.60   0.51   0.5	Plants	Sodium	Potassium	Calcium	Magnesium	Chloride	Nitrogen	Sulphate	Author
1.71   1.58   0.63   1.64   0.79   -     12.10       1.11   0.68   0.34   0.98   0.27   -     2.41       1.11   0.68   0.34   0.98   0.27   -     2.41       1.21   0.68   0.44   0.12   5.19   -     2.41       1.22   1.23   0.50   0.16   0.02   0.87   -     1.39       1.23   1.24   0.04   0.50   0.24   0.60   1.8       1.24   1.25   0.02   0.02   0.84   -     1.30       1.25   0.02   0.03   0.34   -     1.30       1.26   1.25   0.02   0.03   0.34   -     1.30       1.27   1.25   0.02   0.03   0.34   -     1.30       1.28   1.20   1.25   0.02   0.04   0.53   -     1.30       1.29   1.20   1.25   0.02   0.04   0.53   -     1.30       1.20   1.25   0.02   0.04   0.53   -     1.30       1.20   1.21   0.30   0.45   3.58   -     1.30       1.20   0.23   0.11   0.30   0.45   3.58   -     0.73       1.20   0.23   0.20   0.24   0.05   0.05   0.05   0.05   0.05     1.20   0.32   0.33   0.57   0.02   0.03   0.75   2.00     1.20   0.32   0.18   0.40   0.51   0.31   0.30   0.75   2.00     1.22   0.33   0.40   0.51   0.31   0.35   0.75   2.00     1.23   0.35   0.40   0.51   0.31   0.35   0.75   2.00     1.24   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.25   0.25   0.25   0.25     1.	GREEN ALGAE 1. Enteromorphoa	1.16	0.71	0.51	0.41	2.40	0.38	4.00	Pillai, 1956
1.11   0.68   0.34   0.98   0.27   - 7.74     1.11   0.68   0.41   0.12   2.90   - 2.41     1.12   0.57   3.59   0.52   0.07   2.90   - 2.41     1.14   1.25   0.40   0.18   1.5.63   - 5.99     1.15   1.28   0.93   0.50   0.50   0.87   - 1.39     1.16   1.26   0.15   0.02   0.87   - 1.39     1.20   1.25   0.02   0.04   0.53   - 1.39     1.20   1.25   0.02   0.04   0.53   - 1.39     1.20   1.25   0.02   0.08   7.20   - 1.50     1.20   1.21   0.30   0.45   3.58   - 1.18     1.20   1.21   0.30   0.45   3.58   - 1.18     1.21   0.23   0.24   0.07   0.09   1.34   0.73     1.22   0.23   0.24   0.07   0.09   1.34   0.73     1.23   0.93   0.57   0.02   0.16   3.84   0.70   4.50     1.24   0.55   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.25   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.26   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.27   0.42   0.43   3.66   0.75   2.00     1.28   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.29   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.20   0.41   0.42   0.44   0.45   0.45     1.25   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.26   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.27   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.28   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.29   0.40   0.51   0.41   0.40     1.20   0.41   0.40     1.20   0.42   0.40   0.51   0.41     1.20   0.40   0.41   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40     1.20   0.40   0.40     1.	ntestinans 2. Ulva lactuca	1.71	1.58	0.63	1.64	0.79	ı	12.10	Sitakara Rao and
nonumentalis         0.57         3.59         0.52         0.07         2.90         -         2.41           posita         4.82         4.09         0.41         0.12         5.19         -         4.43           kense         10.74         2.35         1.19         0.18         15.63         -         2.41           dis         1.28         0.93         0.50         0.50         2.40         0.60         1.8           a         1.28         0.93         0.50         0.50         0.40         0.60         1.8           a         1.20         1.28         0.10         0.16         0.02         0.84         -         1.33           spp.         1.20         1.25         0.02         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.33           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           spp.         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           nosis         0.08         0.02         0.03         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           rectrosa)         1.23	3. U. rigida	1.11	0.68	0.34	0.98	0.27	ı	7.74	11piils, 1907. Do.
oosita         4.82         4.09         0.41         0.12         5.19         -         4.43           kense         10.74         2.35         1.19         0.18         15.63         -         4.43           dis         1.28         0.93         0.50         0.50         0.40         0.60         1.8           a         1.40         1.06         0.16         0.02         0.87         -         1.39           sinuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.39           sereum         1.67         7.35         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           spp.         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           opterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           hencides         0.23         2.01         0.40 <td< td=""><td>4. Cladophora monumentalis</td><td>0.57</td><td>3.59</td><td>0.52</td><td>0.07</td><td>2.90</td><td>ı</td><td>2.41</td><td>Do.</td></td<>	4. Cladophora monumentalis	0.57	3.59	0.52	0.07	2.90	ı	2.41	Do.
kense         10.74         2.35         1.19         0.18         15.63         -         5.99           diss         1.28         0.93         0.50         0.50         2.40         0.60         1.8           a         1.40         1.06         0.16         0.02         0.87         -         1.39           inuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.04         0.53         -         1.39           inuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.39           inuosa         0.56         0.85         0.02         0.03         0.08         7.20         -         1.39           ina         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           inasis)         5.66         1.11         0.30         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           opperatum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           decrosa)         0.03         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           regularum         0.56         0.40 <td>5. Boodlea composita</td> <td>4.82</td> <td>4.09</td> <td>0.41</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>5.19</td> <td>ı</td> <td>4.43</td> <td>Do.</td>	5. Boodlea composita	4.82	4.09	0.41	0.12	5.19	ı	4.43	Do.
tlis         1.28         0.93         0.50         0.50         2.40         0.60         1.8           a         1.40         1.06         0.16         0.02         0.87         -         1.39           sinuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.04         0.53         -         1.39           spp.         1.20         1.25         0.02         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.50           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.50           lia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.50           spp:         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.32           opterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           neccrosal         1.23         0.24         0.46         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           reglatum         0.56         0.40 <t< td=""><td>6. <i>Codium dwarkense</i> BROWN ALGAE</td><td>10.74</td><td>2.35</td><td>1.19</td><td>0.18</td><td>15.63</td><td>I</td><td>5.99</td><td>Do.</td></t<>	6. <i>Codium dwarkense</i> BROWN ALGAE	10.74	2.35	1.19	0.18	15.63	I	5.99	Do.
spora         1.40         1.06         0.16         0.02         0.87         -         1.39           via sinuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.04         0.53         -         1.39           lum spp.         1.20         1.25         0.02         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           rifolia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           nuii         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           numii         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           a lichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           a fluce         0.23         0.4	7. Padina australis	1.28	0.93	0.50	0.50	2.40	09.0	1.8	Pillai, 1956
nia sinuosa         0.56         0.85         0.12         0.04         0.53         -         1.33           lum spp.         1.20         1.25         0.02         0.02         0.84         -         2.54           n cinereum         1.67         7.35         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           orifolia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           natioropterum         5.66         1.11         0.30         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           naticropterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           alla accrosa)         alichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           a lichencides         1.23         0.93         0.57         0.02         1.26         2.14         3.65           a furcellatum         0.56         0.40         0.51         0.41         2.40         0.75         2.00           norallocal         0.33         3.06         0.75         2.00         2.90           a funcellatum <td< td=""><td>8. P. gymnospora</td><td>1.40</td><td>1.06</td><td>0.16</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.87</td><td>1</td><td>1.39</td><td>Sitakara Rao and Tinnis 1967</td></td<>	8. P. gymnospora	1.40	1.06	0.16	0.02	0.87	1	1.39	Sitakara Rao and Tinnis 1967
lum spp.         1.20         1.25         0.02         0.02         0.84         -         2.54           n cinereum         1.67         7.35         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           mitifolia         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           namensis)         5.66         1.11         0.30         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           micropterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           ila accrosa)         a lichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           sides         1.23         0.93         0.57         0.02         1.26         2.14         3.65           horas picifera         0.32         0.40         0.51         0.41         2.40         0.93         2.90           nomilosa         1.15         0.82         0.61         0.31         2.40         0.75         2.00	9. Calpomenia sinuosa	0.56	0.85	0.12	0.04	0.53		1.33	Do.
n cinereum         1.67         7.35         0.02         0.08         7.20         -         1.50           nnii         nnii         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           namensis)         5.66         1.11         0.30         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           micropterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           Ila accrosa)         a lichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           oides         1.23         0.93         0.57         0.02         1.26         2.14         3.65           hora spicifera         0.32         0.40         0.51         0.41         2.40         0.93         2.90           nonsillose         0.32         0.18         0.42         0.38         3.06         0.75         2.00           nonsillose         0.32         0.18         0.33         0.61         0.33         0.75         2.00	10. Cystophyllum spp.	1.20	1.25	0.02	0.02	0.84	1	2.54	Do.
mij         1.47         1.67         0.02         0.01         1.39         -         1.82           namensis)         5.66         1.11         0.30         0.45         3.58         -         0.11           namensis)         micropterum         0.08         0.02         0.28         0.07         0.09         1.34         0.73           alla accrosa)         alichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           alichencides         0.23         2.01         0.40         0.16         3.84         0.70         4.50           sides         1.23         0.93         0.57         0.02         1.26         2.14         3.65           a furcellatum         0.56         0.40         0.51         0.41         2.40         0.93         2.90           noralization         0.32         0.18         0.42         0.33         3.06         0.75         2.00           noralization         0.16         0.23         0.16         0.75         2.00	11. Sargassum cinereum v. berberifolia	1.67	7.35	0.02	0.08	7.20	1	1.50	Do.
5.66       1.11       0.30       0.45       3.58       -       0.11         0.08       0.02       0.28       0.07       0.09       1.34       0.73         0.23       2.01       0.40       0.16       3.84       0.70       4.50         1.23       0.93       0.57       0.02       1.26       2.14       3.65         1       0.56       0.40       0.51       0.41       2.40       0.93       2.90         1       0.32       0.18       0.42       0.38       3.06       0.75       2.00         1       0.32       0.18       0.42       0.38       3.06       0.75       2.00         1       0.32       0.18       0.61       0.31       2.40       0.75       2.00	12. <i>S. johnstonii</i> RED ALGAE	1.47	1.67	0.02	0.01	1.39	1	1.82	Do.
0.08     0.02     0.28     0.07     0.09     1.34     0.73       0.23     2.01     0.40     0.16     3.84     0.70     4.50       1.23     0.93     0.57     0.02     1.26     2.14     3.65       1     0.56     0.40     0.51     0.41     2.40     0.93     2.90       1     0.32     0.18     0.42     0.38     3.06     0.75     2.00       1     1.56     0.82     0.61     0.31     2.40     1.00     3.8	13. Porphyra (P. vietnamensis)	2.66	1.11	0:30	0.45	3.58	1	0.11	Tewari <i>et al</i> , 1968.
0.23     2.01     0.40     0.16     3.84     0.70     4.50       1.23     0.93     0.57     0.02     1.26     2.14     3.65       1     0.56     0.40     0.51     0.41     2.40     0.93     2.90       1     0.32     0.18     0.42     0.38     3.06     0.75     2.00       1     1.6     0.82     0.61     0.31     2.40     1.00     3.8	14. Gelidium micropterum (Gelidiella accrosa)	0.08	0.02	0.28	0.07	0.09	1.34	0.73	Kappanna and Visweswara Rao,
0.56 0.40 0.51 0.41 2.40 0.93 2.90 0.32 0.18 0.42 0.38 3.06 0.75 2.00 3.8 1.16 0.83 0.61 0.41 0.41 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.4	15. Gracilaria lichencides	0.23	2.01	0.40	0.16	3.84	0.70	4.50	Pillai, 1956
0.56         0.40         0.51         0.41         2.40         0.93         2.90           1         0.32         0.18         0.42         0.38         3.06         0.75         2.00           1         1.6         0.82         0.61         0.31         2.40         1.00         3.8	16. G. licbenoides	1.23	0.93	0.57	0.02	1.26	2.14	3.65	Kappanna and Visweswara Rao, 1963
<i>i</i> 0.32 0.18 0.42 0.38 3.06 0.75	17. Sarconema furcellatum	0.56	0.40	0.51	0.41	2.40	0.93	2.90	Pillai, 1956
116 082 061 031 240 100	18. Acanthophora spicifera	0.32	0.18	0.42	0.38	3.06	0.75	2.00	Do.
00.1 04.2 10.0 10.0 20.0 01.1	19. Laurencia papillosa	1.16	0.82	0.61	0.31	2.40	1.00	3.8	Do.

Table 3

 $Minor\ constituents\ in\ Indian\ seaweeds\ (Results\ are\ expressed\ in\ mg/100\ gm\ of\ dry\ weed)$ 

a intestinalis	ıron Copper	Manganese	Boron	Zinc	Phosphorus	Author
	0.05	13.00	0 60	4 40	1	Pillai 1956
2. Ulva lactuca 0.37		8.23	15.60	0.74	277.60	Sitakara Rao and Tipnis, 1967
25		38.40	10.00	1.62	286.30	Do.
4. Chaetomorpha linum 21.70		38.50	0.44	3.00	ı	Pillai, 1956
5. Cladophora monumentalis 144.45		6.15	23.54	2.27	116.20	Sitakara Rao and Tipnis, 1967
6. Boodlea composita 468.55		17.62	4.50	1.86	258.35	Do.
7. Codium dwarkense 60.60		2.31	1.10	1.97	205.70	Do.
BROWNALGAE						
8. Padina australis 50.40	1.12	45.00	1.10	4.40	ı	Pillai, 1956
9. <i>P. gymnospora</i> 456.10	1.96	24.75	3.21	3.46	28.63	Sitakara Rao and Tipnis, 1967
10. Colpomenia sinuosa 249.70	1.47	0.04	4.02	0.13	98.36	Do.
11. Rosenvingea intricate 22.40	0.50	57.50	0.74	3.20	ı	Pillai, 1956
12. Cystophyllum spp. 30.07	7 0.02	13.80	2.58	0.70	197.95	Sitakara Rao and Tipnis, 1967.
13. Sargassum cinereum 224.05		4.19	0.24	1.08	3.02	Do.
v. berberifolia	203					
14. S. johnstonii 107.40 RED AI GAE	0.61	9.07	1.64	2.14	203.60	Do.
15. Gracilaria lichenoides 28.00	1.00	55.00	1.43	8.30	,	Pillai, 1956
16. Sarconema filiformo 19.60		18.70	0.73	6.40	,	Do.
17. S. furcellatum 14.00	3.00	39.00	0.94	5.80	ı	Do.
18. Hypnea musciformis 28.00		19.50	0.80	8.00	ı	Do.
19. Chondria dasyphylla 30.80	06.0	17.50	0.85	08.9	ı	Do.
20. Acanthophora spicifera 28.00	1.20	8.50	0.43	7.00	ı	Do.
21. Laurencia papillosa 37.80	0.50	24.00	0.46	550	,	Do.

### **Iodine content**

Though the mineral deposits of Chile are the important sources for the production of iodine, it is still extracted in small amounts from brown weeds in Japan, Norway and France and from red seaweeds like *Phyllophora nervosa* in Russia. Seaweeds are good sources to meet dietary requirements of iodine and goitre disease caused by iodine deficiency is less prevalent in countries where marine algae form part of the diet. Some amount of iodine occurs in seaweeds in the readily available form of the precursor of thyroxine and hence this source of iodine is far better than mineral iodine (Thivy 1960).

Joseph *et al.* (1948) collected some information on the iodine content of *Sargassum* and a seagrass, *Enhalus koenigii*. Later Pillai (1956) estimated the iodine content in eleven species of marine algae growing around Mandapam. Recently Kappanna and Sitakara Rao (1962), Sitakara Rao and Tipnis (1967) and Dave *et al.* (1969) have determined the quantity of iodine present in many green, brown and red algae of the Gujarat coast. Values obtained by these authors together with the localities from which the algae collected are shown in Table 4. Maximum values obtained in a year are given for the algae studied by Pillai (1956). In general the iodine content was high in green and red algae analysed (Table 4) than in brown weeds. However, among the brown algae studied 104.50 mg of iodine was reported in *Myrioloea Sciurus* and 500 mg. in *Padina australis* (Table 4). Maximum amount of 566.70 mg/100 gm was observed in a small red alga *Asparagopsis*. Other weeds in which high quantity of ioidine (above 200 mg/100 gm) observed are *Udotea indica, Gracilaria lichenoides* and *Sarconema furcellatum*.

# Proteins, peptides and free amino acids

Protein content in the marine algae has been estimated by Chidambaram and Unny (1953), Neela (1956), Pillai (1957a) and Sitakara Rao and Tipnis (1964, 1967). In *Sargassum, Turbinaria* and *Gracilaria* species analysed by Chidambaram and Unny (1953), the protein content was found to be less than 10%. Data collected during recent years on protein content of different green, brown and red algae are summarized in Table 5. It may be seen from Table 5 that the protein is high in green and red seaweeds investigated than in the brown weeds. In *Ulva fasciata*, *Ulva* 

Table4 **Iodine content of Indian seaweeds** 

Nam	ne	Locality	mg of Iodine/	Author
GRE	EENALGAE			
1.	Enteromorpha intestinalis	Mandapam	58.00	Pillai, 1956
2.	Enteromorpha spp	Porbander	4.16	Dave et al, 1969
3.	Ulva fasciata	Veraval	7.40	Do.
4.	U. lactuca	Okha	3.31	Do.
5.	U. lactuca	Porbander	6.27	Do.
<b>)</b> .	U. rigida	Gopnath	4.83	Sitakara Rao & Tipnis, 1967
7.	Chaetomorpha linum	Mandapam	72.00	Pillai, 1956
3.	Cladophora expansa	Porbander	18.00	Dave et al, 1969
).	C. monumentalis	Okha	64.64	Sitakara Rao & Tipnis, 1967
10.	Cladophora spp.	Porbander	18.83	Dave et al, 1969
1.	Boodlea composita	Okha	29.77	Do.
2.	Udotea indica	Do.	215.30	Do.
3.	Halimeda tuna	Do.	31.30	Do.
4.	Codium dwarkense	Do.	5.31	Sitakara Rao & Tipnis, 1967
5.	Chamaedoris auriculat	aVeraval	10.43	Dave <i>et al</i> , 1969
RC	)WN ALGAE			
6.	Myriogloea sciurus	Okha	104.50	Kappanna &Sita kara Rao, 1962
7.	Stoechospermum marginatum	Okha	5.44	Dave <i>et al</i> , 1969
8.	Spathoglossum variabi	ile Do.	16.44	Kappanna &Sita kara Rao, 1962
9.	Dictyopteris australis	Do	23.48	Dave <i>et al</i> , 1969
).	Dictyopteris spp.	Do	25.81	Do.
l.	Padina australis	Mandapam	500.00	Pillai, 1956
2	P. gymnospora	Okha	7.95	Dave et al, 1969
3.	Colpomenia sinuosa	Do.	8.99	Sitakara Rao & Tipnis, 1967
24.	Cystophyllum spp.	Porbander	34.19	Dave <i>et al</i> , 1969
25.	Cystophyllum spp.	Veraval	16.53	Do.

Table 4 (Contd.)

Name	Locality	mg of Iodine/ 100 g dryweed	Author
26. Sargassum cinereum	Sikka	33.20	Sitakara Rao &
v. berberifolia			Tipnis, 1967
27. S. johnstonii	Okha	39.80	Do.
28. S. swartzii	Do.	28.18	Dave et al, 1969
29. S. tenerrimum	Do.	37.21	Do.
30. S. vulgare	Porbander	29.29	Do.
REDALGAE			
31. Scinaia indica	okha	5.62	Kappanna &Sita
			kara Rao, 1962
32 Asparagopsis taxiformis	Do.	499.30	Dave et al, 1969
33 Asparagopsis spp.	Do.	556.70	Do.
34. Gelidiella acerosa	Porbander	54.00	Do.
35. Amphiroa anceps	Okha	5.15	Do.
36. Halymenia venusta	Do.	25.00	Do.
37. Gracilaria corticata	Porbander	18.41	Do.
38. G. foliifera	Okha	8.07	Do.
39. G. lichenoides	Mandapam	208.00	Pillai, 1956
40. Sarconema filiforme	Do.	107.00	Do.
41. S. furcellatum	Okha	8.63	Kappanna &Sita kara Rao, 1962
42. S. furcellatum	Mandapam	357.00	Pillai, 1956
42. S. jurcenana 43. Solieria robusta	Okha	15.54	Kappanna &Sita
45. Soneria robusia	OKIIa	13.34	kara Rao, 1962
44. Agardhiella tenera	Do.	12.65	Do.
45. Hypnea musciformis	Mandapam	100.00	Pillai, 1956
46. H. musciformis	Okha	12.74	Dave <i>et al</i> , 1969
47. Centroceras clavulatum	Do.	20.79	Do.
48 Heterosiphonia muelleri	Do.	10.01	Kappanna &Sita
10 Hererosiphonia maeneri	Σ0.	10.01	kara Rao, 1962
49. Polysiphonia ferulacea	Do.	39.06	Do.
50. <i>Polysiphonia</i> spp	Do.	4.78	Do.
51. Acanthophora spp.	Do.	5.78	Do.
52. A. spicifera	Mandapam	90.00	Pillai, 1956
53. Laurencia papillosa	Do.	137.00	Do.

rigida, Acanthophora muscoides and Centroceras clavulatum 22-26% of protein was estimated. Lewis and Gonzalves (1960) reported protein content higher than 28% in the algae collected from Bombay coast.

Table 5

Protein content of Indian seaweeds

Name	Protein gm/100gm of seaweed	Author
GREEN ALGAE		
1. Ulva fasciata	25.48	Sitakara Rao &
		Tipnis, 1964
2. U. lactuca	7.69	Do.
3. U. rigida	22.42	Do.
4. Cladophora monumentalis	16.28	Do.
5. Boodlea composita	10.32	Do.
6. Udotea indica	13.00	Do.
7. Codium dwarkense	7.22	Do.
8. Chamaedoris auriculata	13.67	Do.
BROWNALGAE		
9. Dictyopteris asutralis	8.14	Do.
10. Spathoglossum variabile	15.66	Do.
11. Padina gymnospora	12.27	Do.
12. Colpomenia sinuosa	6.62	Do.
13. Cystophyllum spp	11.21	Do.
14. Sargassum cinereum		
V. berberifolia	9.61	Do
15. S. johnstonii	10.90	Do.
16. S. tenerrimum	12.14	Do.
REDALGAE		
17. <i>Porphyra</i> sp	16.01	Tewari <i>et al</i> , 1968
18. Scinaia indica	12.51	Sitakara Rao & Tipnis, 1964
19. Asparagopsis taxiformis	16.19	Do.
20. Gracilaria lichenoides	7.62	Neela, 1956
21. <i>Hypnea</i> spp	7.50	Do.
22. Centroceras clavulatum	20.12	Sitakara Rao &
		Tipnis, 1964
23. Acanthophora muscoides	21.83	Do.

Extensive work has been carried out by Lewis and Gonzalves (1959 a-c, 1960, 1962 a-c) and Lewis (1962 a,b, 1963 a-d, 1964 and 1967) on the aminoacids present in free state and in the protein and peptide hydrolysates. The following are some of the green brown and red seaweeds studied by these authors: *Caulerpa racemosa, C. peltata, C. sertularioides* 

Enteromorpha prolifera, Ulva lactuca V. rigida, Valoniopsis pachynema, Padina tetrastomatica, P. distromatica, P. gymnospora, Spathoglossum asperum, Dictyota maxima, Sargassum cinereum, Chondrococcus hornemanni, Centroceras clavulatum, Spyridia fusciformis, Laurencia papillosa, Chondria dasyphylla, Calliblepharis jubata, Hypnea musciformis, Gracilaria compressa, G. confervoides, G. lichenoides, G. corticata, Grateloupia lithophila, G.filicina and Agardhiella robusta. While reviewing the work done on proteins, peptides and free aminoacids, Lewis (1967) has pointed out that the Indian marine algae have all the essential aminoacids needed in the human diet and the importance of seaweeds is mainly due to the occurrence of aminoacids like methionine and triptophane which are not available in other vegetable food materials.

## Extraction of protein from marine algae

Parekh and Visweswara Rao (1964) reported a method to extract proteins from the green seaweed, *Ulva rigida*. In this process the powdered weed is first treated with either-water mixture (1:4 ratio) for about 3 hours and extracted with one normal sodium hydroxide solution. The protein present in the alkali extract was then precipitated with 10% solution of trichloro acetic acid at pH 4-5. The precipitated protein was washed, dried and powdered. Among the precipitating agents tried by these authors, trichloro acetic acid gave best results and it has been found that a concentrate containing 60% of protein can be obtained by this method.

### **Vitamins**

Different Vitamins such as Vitamin – A, Vitamin – B<sub>12</sub>, Vitamin – C Vitamin – D and Vitamin-E have been reported from marine algae growing in the other parts of the world. In India, a few studies were made on the ascorbic acid content (Vitamin-C) of marine algae and the results obtained on the algae of Mandapam area (Thivy, 1960) are shown in the Table 6. The amount of ascorbic acid present in *Sargassum myriocystum* (Table 6) is very high and it is slightly more than the amount present in citrus fruit (Thivy, 1960). Variations in the ascorbic acid content in relation to growth and reproduction of *Ulva fasciata* have been studied by Subbaramaiah (1967). Highest concentration of 2.4 mg/gm was observed

in very young plants of about 5 mm in length. With increase in length of the frond the ascorbic acid content decreased and only 0.73 mg/gm was observed in plants more than 7.0 cm in length. The concentration of Vitamin-C was found to be higher in reproductive parts of the thallus than in the vegetative parts.

### **Carbohydrates**

Laminarin, mannitol, fucoidan, alginic acid, agar, carrageenan and many other varieties of carbohydrates have been isolated from green, brown and red algae (Black, 1954; Percival, 1968). In india much attention has been paid so far on the economically important algal carbohydrates such as agar and algin. Investigations carried out on these and other carbohydrates are detailed below:

**Agar**:- During and after the Second World War some studies were made to produce agar from the Indian seaweeds (Bose *et al.*, 1943; Chakraborthy, 1945; Joseph and Mahadevan, 1948 and Karunakar *et al.*, 1948). These authors used different techniques for the purification of agar gel. In the method developed by Bose *et al.* (1943) the whole weed is leached for 18 hours before extraction and the gel obtained was maintained at 60°C to remove the suspended impurities. Starch present in the gel was removed by treating with 0.2% acetic acid for one hour and then by washing the gel in water. Bacterial method has been employed by Karunakar *et al.* (1948) for the purification of agar gel. Chakraborthy (1945) used the freezing technique to remove the suspended material in the gel of *Gracilaria verrucosa* and working on the same species Mahonty (1956) later reported that heating under pressure at 230°F was necessary for the removal of impurities in the gel.

At the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, more detailed investigations were made since its inception on Indian agarophytes to utilize the indigenous seaweed resources for the production of agar in the country. Agar-agar was extracted from different species of *Gracilaria* and the seaweeds like *Gelidiella acerosa* occurring along the Indian coast and the physical properties of agar samples obtained from these plants were studied (Thivy, 1951, 1960; Sarangan, unpublished). In these investigations *Gelidiella acerosa*, commonly growing on the coral substrata in the Gulf of Mannar area was found to be an important source

for the manufacture of high quality agar. The yield, gel strength and data on some other physical properties of *Gelidiella acerosa* and *Gracilaria* species obtained are given in Table 7

Table 6

Ascorbic acid content in Indian marine algae
(From Thivy, 1960)

	mg/100 g of fresh weed
	5.92
•••	6.04
•••	5.69
	6.10
	0.22
	7.86
	66.60
	8.58
•••	7.25
	4.00
	5.92
-	

Table 7

Yield and physical properties of agar obtained from Gelidiella and Gracilaria species

Agarophyte	Yield (%)	Gel strength (1.5% solution)	Setting temp. (1.5% solution)	Melting temp. (1.5% solution)	
Gelidiella acerosa	45	300 gm/cm <sup>2</sup>	40°C	92°C	
Gracilaria lichenoides	43	$120\mathrm{gm/cm^2}$	$45^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	84°C	
G. crassa	23	$140\mathrm{gm/cm^2}$	$48^{\circ}$ C	84°C	
G. corticata	38	$20\mathrm{gm/cm^2}$	44°C	68°C	
G. foliifera	12	$15 \text{ gm/cm}^2$	$40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	-	

During the course of chemical studies carried out in this Institute on marine algae (Pillai, 1955 c) it was observed that in *Gracilaria lichenoides* 60-90% of minerals and a good amount of sulphur, nitrogenous matter and carbohydrates occur in water soluble form and these compounds, which come as impurities while extracting agar, can be removed by pulverizing, soaking and washing the weed. Based on this important observation, a cottage industry method has been developed in the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute for the manufacture of pure agar from *Gracilaria lichenoides* (Pillai, 1955c; Thivy 1960). Details of the method developed are given in the appendix. In this method the impurities are removed from the seaweed before extraction and not from the gel. The leaching process will minimize the cost of production since large scale equipments are not used for freezing gel etc. and the yield from the pulverized weed is higher than in the earlier methods reported.

A separate method has also been described (Thivy, 1960) for the extraction of agar-agar from *Gelidiella acerosa (Gelidium micropterum)*. Freezing technique is employed in this method to retain the cold water soluble fraction of agar and since it is not possible to remove the impurities from the weed effectively as in the case of *Gracilaria lichenoides*.

Several methods have been described recently for large scale extraction of agar with some minor changes in the process worked out by Thivy (1960). In the studies made by Kappanna and Visweswara Rao (1963) on the preparation of agar from *Gelidium micropterum* (*Gelidiella acerosa*) and *Gracilaria lichenoides*, it was pointed out that the quality of agar can be improved by freezing and thawing; and that soaking the material for 24 hours has no effect on the quality of agar. In the pilot plant trials conducted later (Visweswara Rao *et al.*, 1965) pulverized weed was soaked overnight in fresh water before wet grinding and extracting the agar. The method suggested by Srinivasan and Santhanaraja (1967) is more or less similar to the one described by Kappana and Visweswara Rao (1963), but the seaweed is pulverised into fine powder before extraction. To eliminate the cost of freezing Desai (1967) used 90% industrial alcohol for flocculating the agar from the filtrate.

The sulphate content of the agar-yielding seaweeds plays an important role in determining the gel strength of agar. Marked increase in the stability and gel strength of agar was observed in the experiments conducted (Doshi and Sreenivasa Rao, 1967 a,b) by exposing the seaweed samples to Cobalt-60 gamma radiations. Small doses varying from  $0.5 \times 10^{18} \, eV$ . / g.  $to 3.0 \times 10^{18} \, eV$ . / g. increased the gel strength (1-2.5 times) in *Gelidiella acerosa*, *Gelidium micropterum* and *Gracilaria millardetiii* (Doshi and Sreenivasa Rao, 1967 b). These changes caused by the radiations have been ascribed to the breaking up of the organic sulphate fraction in the agar molecule. Later, the sulphate content present in the extractives of *Gelidium* spp., *Gelidiella acerosa Gracilaria folifera*, *G. millardetii*, *G. corticata*, *Hypnea musciformis* and *Furcellaria* sp has been precipitated with barium chloride and gel strength of these agar samples was determined (Doshi, Raju and Sreenivasa Rao, 1968). As expected, increase in gel strength was found in these experiments with corresponding decrease in the sulphate content.

As mentioned earlier, the physical properties of the colloids obtained from *Hypnea* and *Chondrus* are altered by the addition of certain solutes like potassium chloride. However, the properties of *Gelidium* and *Gracilaria* agars are not effected by the solutes (Humm, 1957). In a recent study on *Hypnea musciformis* occurring on the Gujarat coast (Rama Rao and Krishnamurthy, 1968), rapid increase in gel strength, setting and melting temperautures was reported with the addition of 0.5% potassium chloride to different concentrations of *Hypnea* extractive. For the preparation of *Hypnea* agar it has been suggested by these authors that the potassium chloride must be added filtering the hot extract and freezing and thawing should not be done to retain the solutes in the *Hypnea* gel.

Alginic acid:- Very few studies were made on Indian alginophytes when compared with the work done on agar-yielding plants. Alginic acid content present in the brown algae of Mandapam area (Valson, 1955) and Gujarat coast (Kappana *et al.*,1962) has been determined. Data gathered on the alginic acid content of Indian brown seaweeds are shown in Table 8, along with the localities from which the weeds have been gathered. Values of

Table 8

Alginic acid content in Indian brown seaweeds

Seaweed	Locality	Yield of Alginic Acid (%)	Author
1. <i>Dictyota</i> spp.	Sikka	5.50	Kappanna <i>et al</i> , 1962
2. Padina spp.	Mandapam	10.35	Valson 1955
3. Cystophyllum muricatum	Mandapam	15.63	Do.
4. Cystophyllum muricatum	Sikka	19.74	Kappanna et al, 1962
5. Hormophysa triquetra	Mandapam	18.22	Valson, 1955
6. Sargassum cinereum	_		
v. berberifolia	Dwarka	29.17	Kappanna et al, 1962
7. S. johnstonii	Okha	22.34	Do.
8. S. myriocystum	Mandapam	24.70	Umamaheswara Rao,
			(Un published)
9. S. tenerrimum	Dwarka	4.85	Kappana et al, 1962
10. S. tenerrimum	Okha	10.08	Do.
11. S. tenerrimum	Sikka	14.77	Do.
12. S. wightii	Mandapam	31.70	Umamaheswara Rao,
			1969c.
13. Sargassum spp.	Do.	19.22	Valson, 1955.
14. Turbinaria conoides	Do.	18.08	Do.
15. T. conoides	Do.	35.60	Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c
16. T. ornate	Do.	32.18	Umamaheswara Rao, (Un published)

Valson (1955) and Kappanna *et al.* (1962) presented in Table 8 are based on the titration method of Cameron *et al.* (1948) and those of Umamaheswara Rao (1969 c) on the maximum yield obtained from the fully grown plants.

Studies on the structure, properties and optimum conditions for the preparation of alginic acid were carried out with *Sargassum tenerrimum* and *S. wightii* (Sadasivan Pillai and Varier, 1952). At the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute investigations were undertaken (Pillai, 1959 c) using three species of *Sargassum* (*S. tenerrimum*, *S. myriocystum* and *S. cinereum*) growing around Mandapam and a simple method has been described

for the extraction of alginic acid from *Sargassum* species. Sodium hypochlorite was used in many processes for bleaching crude alginic acid. Trials were made in this study with different decolorising agents and potassium permanganate was found to be suitable for bleaching alginic acid. Bleaching was effected in this process by treating the precipitate of alginic acid with potassium permanganate solution in the presence of hydrochloric acid. A cottage industry method has also been reported for the extraction of calcium alginate and alginic acid by Sadasivan pillai (1961). In the recent study conducted on brown weeds of Sourashtra coast (Visweswara Rao and Mody, 1964), it was observed that the alginic acid obtained from calcium alginate is superior to the alginic acid precipitated directly from the extract of sodium alginate. A method for the production of commercial grades of sodium alginate has been give by Desai (1967) using 90% industrial alcohol to coagulate sodium alginate. Other workers (Shah *et al.*, 1967) also pointed out that alcohol coagulation gives alginates of high viscosity, but this method may not be economical because of the large quantities of alcohol required for the separation of sodium alginate.

Some preliminary experiments have been conducted by Pillai (1964) using sodium alginate as coating material, to control the flavour changes, oxidation of fat, dehydration etc. in frozen seafoods during storage. In these experiments fishes like *Sardinella gibbosa*, *Elops* sp., *Sillago* sp. and two species of prawns were coated with an alginate gelly prepared by mixing 2.5% solution of sodium alginate, sodium and phosphate salts and citric acid and they were quick frozen and stored at low temperatures.

**Methods for the extraction of agar and algin:** The processes developed at the Central Marine Fisheries Research institute and by other investigators for the manufacture of agar (Thivy, 1960 and Visweswara Rao *et al*, 1965) and algin (Pillai, 1957 c; Sadasivan Pillai, 1961 and Visweswara Rao and Mody, 1964) are given at the end of this Bulletin as Appendix to show the different stages in the extraction of these colloids and modifications suggested by different workers.

Mannitol:- Mannitol is a sugar alcohol present in the cell sap of brown algae and it has also been reported from other types of weeds. From the fragmentary information available it is clear that the mannitol content

of Indian brown weeds is very low with a seasonal variation ranging from 1-8% (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c). Highest values obtained on the mannitol content of *Sargassum myriocystum*, *Turbinaria ornate* (Umamaheswara Rao, unpublished), *Sargassum wightii* and *Turbinaria conoides* (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c) by the titration method of Cameron *et al.*(1948) are given below: An attempt has also been made earlier by Varier and Sadasivan Pillai (1952) to extract mannitol with 80% ethyl alcohol and the data obtained by these authors on two species of *Sargassum* growing at Cape comorin are also included here.

Seaweed	Mannitol (gm/100 gm dry weed)
Sargassum tenerrimum (Cape comorin)	9.4
S. wightii (Cape of comorin)	7.3
S. wightii (Mandapam)	6.2
S. myriocystum (Mandapam)	5.0
Turbinaria conoides (Mandapam)	7.4
T. ornate (Mandapam)	7.1

Among the four algae studies at Mandapam, maximum content was observed in young and vegetative plants (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c).

# Quantitative changes in the mineral constituents and organic extractives

Marked changes in the chemical constituents occur in different seasons, environmental conditions and the growth and fruiting cycles of the plant. Detailed studies were made on this aspect at the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute. Seasonal variations in the major and minor constituents of eleven green brown and red algae have been studied (Pillai, 1956; 1957 a,b). To show the seasonal range in the quantities of some of the major constituents, maximum and minimum values obtained in different months of the year are shown in Table 9. Quantitative changes in the inorganic constituents were noticed also in different growth stages of the plant (Pillai, 1956; 1957 a,b) and plants collected in different localities (see Tables 4 and 8). Recently seasonal fluctuations in titratable acidity, carbohydrates, nitrogen and other major chemical constituents of *Ulva lactuca* have been determined (Patel and Joshi, 1967) and the relationship between the chemical changes in the plant and the changes in the metabolic environment and atmospheric temperature are discussed.

Table 9
Seasonal maxima and minima obtained in the
Mineral contents of eleven Indian marine algae

(From Pillai, 1956, 1957 a,b)

Mineral	M	onth	gr	n/100 gm
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
	I. Enterom	orpha intestina	lis	
Potassium	June	December	1.33	0.65
Sodium	October	April	0.75	0.3
Magnesium	December	April	0.70	0.15
Calcium	March	October	0.85	0.25
Chloride	February	September	1.40	0.75
Vitrogen	December	August	0.38	0.10
Sulphate	April	October	4.00	1.30
	II. <b>Chaet</b>	omorpha linum		
Potassium	June	September	1.55	0.60
Sodium	November	Do.	0.75	0.25
Magnesium	May	Do.	0.30	0.20
Calcium	June	October	0.35	0.20
Chloride	May	September	1.85	0.50
Nitrogen	-	-	-	-
Sulphate	June	December	4.30	1.30
	III. <i>Pa</i>	dina australis		
Pottasium	January	August	2.00	0.80
Sodium	December	July	1.45	0.65
Magnesium	March	December	0.65	0.40
Calcium	February	July	0.65	0.30
Chloride	December	August	2.25	0.95
Nitrogen	October	May	0.60	0.15
Sulphate	November	April	1.70	1.00
	IV. Rosen	vingea intricate	ę	
Pottassium	December	April	4.40	1.75
Sodium	October	Do.	1.85	0.55
			0.00	0.20
Magnesium	January	July	0.90	0.30

Table 9 (Contd.)

Mineral	M	onth	gm/i	100gm
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
Chloride	Echmony	Mov	2.75	0.75
Nitrogen	February	May	2.73	0.73
Sulphate	- May	October	1.10	0.40
Sulphate	Way	October	1.10	0.40
	V. <i>Gracil</i>	aria lichenoides	S	
Potassium	June	August	3.25	0.80
Sodium	November	Do.	0.40	0.15
Magnesium	January	April	0.70	0.25
Calcium	December	Do.	0.50	0.10
Chloride	February	August	2.55	0.75
Nitrogen	December	April	0.73	0.18
Sulphate	April	May	4.40	1.20
	VI. Sarce	onema filiforme		
Potassium	June	September	2.45	0.80
Sodium	December	August	0.50	0.20
Magnesium	September	December	0.45	0.20
Calcium	May	November	1.10	0.30
Chloride	March	April	2.00	0.25
Nitrogen	-	-	-	-
Sulphate	October	December	3.40	1.40
	VII. Sarco	nema furcellatu	m	
Potassium	May	August	3.20	0.85
Sodium	November	January	1.40	0.35
Magnesium	April	August	0.70	0.20
Calcium	October	Do.	0.60	0.35
Chloride	November	May	2.05	0.30
Nitrogen	Do.	July	0.93	0.10
Sulphate	May	January	3.00	1.80
-	·	vpnea musciforn		
	•			_
Potassium	May	December	2.65	0.80
Sodium	January	April	0.25	0.05
Magnesium	August	Do.	0.55	0.20
Calcium	February	Do.	1.00	0.35

Table 9 (Contd.)

Mineral	M	onth	gm/	100gm
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
Chloride	January	September	2.50	0.50
Nitrogen	November	March	0.93	0.13
Sulphate	September	June	3.20	2.50
	IX. Chor	dria dasyphylla	;	
Potassium	June	September	3.05	0.70
Sodium	November	January	0.75	0.20
Magnesium	Do.	April	0.55	0.30
Calcium	June	Do.	0.95	0.10
Chloride	August	Do.	2.10	0.85
Nitrogen	November	Do.	1.00	0.18
Sulphate	May	September	4.40	1.20
	X. Acanth	ophora spicifer	a	
Potassium	May	September	2.60	0.65
Sodium	December	April	1.35	0.20
Magnesium	Do.	Do.	0.70	0.20
Calcium	August	December	0.95	0.25
Chloride	May	October	2.05	0.85
Nitrogen	Do.	February	0.73	0.25
Sulphate	July	January	2.10	1.50
	XI. Laur	rencia papillosa		
Potassium	February	September	2.55	0.35
Sodium	December	April	1.35	0.20
Magnesium	September	October	0.70	0.35
Calcium	August	April	0.90	0.35
Chloride	February	Do.	1.95	0.25
Nitrogen	November	May	1.00	0.18
Sulphate	June	September	3.90	2.00

Investigations have also been carried out on the seasonal fluctuations in agar, algin and other organic extractives of seaweeds. Monthly variations in the agar content of *Gracilaria lichenoides* have been reported by Pillai (1955 c). Detailed studies were mady by Sarangan (unpublished) on *Gracilaria crassa*, *G. corticata* and *G. lichenoides* for about two years to understand the changes in the yield of agar, gel strength, setting and melting temperature of the agar samples extracted. Ten to fifteen percent seasonal range in the yield was observed in the three species of *Gracilaria* studied and maximum yield was obtained during the period from April to August. Marked seasonal changes were not seen in the gel strength setting and melting temperatures of the agar extracted in different months of the year. In another investigation with *Chondria dasyphylla*, *Acanthophora specifera*, *Laurencia papillosa*, *Hypnea musciformis* and *Sarconema filiforme* (Pillai, 1957 d), seasonal changes were noticed in the gel-like extractives obtained from these algae and a close relationship was reported between the changes in the hot water fraction of the sulphur and the organic extractives of these red seaweeds.

In the brown weeds occurring along the Indian coast, seasonal changes in the alginic acid content and viscosity of sodium alginate have been reported in four species of *Sargassum* collected from Gujarat coast during the period from November 1964 to February 1965 (Shaw *et al.*, 1967). Increase in the degree of polymerization was observed with increase in the growth of the plants. Variations in growth, alginic acid and mannitol contents of *Sargassum wightii* and *Turbinaria conoides* growing in the Gulf of Mannar, have been followed for two and a half years from August 1965 to January 1968 (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969 c). In *Sargassum wightii*, the alginic acid component varied from 21.3% to 31.7% and in *Turbinaria conoides* from 23.2 to 35.6%. Peak quantities were found in these two brown weeds during their maximum growth periods from October to December or January. The amount of mannitol varied from 1.2 to 6.2% in *Sargassum wightii* and from 1.78 to 7.4% in *TurbinariaConoides*. Unlike the alginic acid, mannitol accumulated in the plants during the vegetative phase of growth cycle and decreased to minimum during the maximum growth and fruiting periods of the algae. From the above studies on seasonal fluctuations it is clear that the time of collection, growth stage and sometimes the locality differences determine the yield and quality of the end product.

### VII ECOLOGICAL AND BIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SEAWEEDS

Though detailed studies are yet to be made with special reference to seaweeds of economic importance, some amount of information has been collected on the ecology and biology of marine algae growing along the Indian coast. Information available on these aspects has been summarized in this chapter.

Ecological studies have been carried out on the marine algal vegetation of the Mahabalipuram coast (Srinivasan, 1946), salt marshes at Madras (Krishnamurthy, 1954), Chilka Lake (Parija and Parija, 1946), Okha, Porbandar, Veraval and Bombay areas (Misra, 1960). Visakhapatnam coast (Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramaulu, 1964) and Mandapam area (Varma, 1959; Umamaheswara Rao, 1970 b). Many of these investigations provided data on the seasonal changes and zonation of the algae and on the environmental conditions of the areas studied. The probable factors effecting the changed in growth and zonation of the intertidal algae were studied by Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramulu (1964) and Umamaheswara Rao (1970 b). In these ecological studies, seasonal fluctuations in growth and abundance of economically important seaweeds such as Enteromorpha compressa, Chaetomorpha antennina, Chnoospora fastigata (c. minima), Grateloupia lithophila and Gracilaria corticata growing on the Mahabalipuram coast were given in some detail by Srinivasan (1946). Similarly the annual changes observed in the growth and abundance of Enteromorpha compressa, Ulva fasciata, Chaetomorpha antennina, Chnoospora minima, Grateloupia lithophila, Grateloupia filicina, Padina tetrastromatica, Sargassum spp., Porphyra vietnamensis and Gracilaria (Gcorticata) growing on the Visakhapatnam coast have been described by Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramulu (1964) from the data collected for a period of three years. At the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute ecological and biological studies have been taken up on the important agarophytes, alginophytes and some edible algae of Mandapam area. Results obtained on the growth cycles of Sargassum wightii, Turbinaria conoides Gracilaria corticata and Enteromorpha compressa were published recently (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c; 1970b). Data on the annual growth variations have also been collected (Umamaheswara Rao, unpublished) on Turbinaria ornate, Sargassum myriocystum, Ulva lactuca, Chaetomorpha antennina,

Gracilaria lichenoides, G foliifera, Gelidiella acerosa growing at Pudumadam, Mandapam and Rameswaram areas. From the information obtained on the growth behaviour of the algae of Mandapam, Visakhapatnam and Mahabalipuram coasts, it is evident that many of the seaweeds grow either throughout the year or for certain months of the year and the periods of regeneration, maximum growth, and decline of the different algal forms vary from species to species and also from one locality to the other. In general, in many seaweeds studied maximum growth was observed in two seasons of the year, one from June to August and the other from November to December or January. However, for certain forms like *Porphyra vietnamensis* peak growth was found during the period from February to April (Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramaulu, 1963, 1964).

Local enviormental conditions also influence the growth cycles of algae to a large extent. In the investigations carried out on *Enteromorpha* and *Sargassum* growing on the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay sides of Mandapam, certain variations were noticed in the Maximum growth periods (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c; 1970 b). For *Enteromorpha compressa* growing on the Gulf of Mannar side maximum growth was observed between June and August and on the Palk Bay side during Novmber or December. Similarly, highest development was observed in some *Sargassum* species growing on the Gulf of Mannar side during the period from October to December and on the Palk Bay side between December and March. Studies made so far on the environmental conditions have shown that the changes in the tidal emergence and submergence, topography of the coast, surfaction, levels at which the plants grow contribute much to the fluctuations in the growth behaviour of the algae (Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramaulu, 1964 and (Umamaheswara Rao, 1970 b). As a result of these changes in the peak growth periods the economically useful weeds must be collected only in certain seasons of the year to get maximum yield of raw material. From these studies it may also be mentioned that the life span of many algal forms is limited to one year or for a short period of the year. Every year fresh plants develop from the reproductive bodies liberated by the plants of the previous generation or from the perennial basal portions of the old plants.

Since the settlement of reproductive structure of different algae and their growth is confined to certain levels in the intertidal and subtidal environments, studies on the zonal distributions and recolonization

of the algae would be useful for propogating the seaweeds in the inshore areas. With a view to studying the possibilities of propogating the algae on fresh substrata, experiments have been carried out (Varma, 1959) by suspending concrete boulders in the Palk Bay and data were collected on the settlement of spores, and further development of different algae colonized on the concrete blocks. Recolonization studies have also been made on Visakhapatnam coast clearing areas of  $0.5 \, \mathrm{m}^2$  in the *Gracilaria corticata* belt (Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramaulu, 1968). The sequence of the colonization was followed for a period of five months. *Ulva* and *Enteromorpha* were seen as first colonizers and fresh germlings of *Gracilaria corticata* reappeared in the denuded areas after four months.

Variations in the zonal distribution of algae may be seen in the papers published by Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramaulu,(1964) on the intertidal species of Visakhapatnam coast and on the agar and algin-yeilding plants of Mandapam (Umamaheswara Rao,. 1969 b). Distribution of *Gelidiella acerosa*, *Gracilaria lichenoides*, *Sargassum*, *Turbinaria* and many other important species growing in the lagoons and coral reefs of the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay has been studied (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969 d).

Very few attempts have been made to study the life-histories of seaweeds, spore output, the periods at which the spores are liberated and other biological aspects essential for maintaining the seaweed beds in the natural habitats and for cultivating the weeds on artificial substrata. Some aspects of the life history of *Enteromorpha compressa* have been studied by Ramanathan (1939). Prakasa Rao (1946) reported the morphology and life history of *Sargassum tenerrimum*. The morphology and cytology of *Grateloupia lithophila* has been worked out by Balakrishnan (1946). Observations were made recently on the shell boring conchocelis phase of *Porphyra vietnamensis* growing on the Visakhapatnam coast (Prakasa Rao, 1964). Ahmed (1966) reported some observations on the growth and reproduction of *Gracilaria confervoides* occurring in Chilka Lake. Cystocarpic plants were seen in this alga between December and June with maximum number in January. In the studies carried out on the life cycles of *Cystoseria indica* growing at Port Okha (Mairh, 1967), two fruiting periods were observed, one from May to June and other from November to December. However, the spore shedding was very low in

the first fruiting period and maximum number of spores were liberated mainly in the month of May (Krishnamurthy and Mairh, 1967). Sreenivasa Rao (1969) carried out investigations on the ecology and life-history of Gelidiella acerosa. As reported in Cystoseia indica, two fruiting periods were obserbed in the life cycle of this agarophyte, one period between April and May and other in October and November. The water temperature of 28-29° C occurring in these periods was found to be responsible for the two spore producing seasons of the year. Information on the fruiting periods and relative preponderance of vegetative and reproductive plants of Dictyota dichotoma, Padina tetrastromatica, Centroceras clavulatum and other algae has been collected by Umamaheswara Rao and Sreeramulu (1970b). In the studies undertaken at the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, fruiting periods were determined for Sargassum wightii and Turbinaria conoides (Umamaheswara Rao, 1969c). In these two algin-yeilding plants, receptacles were seen between October and December or January. Data on the periods at which fruiting bodies are formed and liberated were collected for other red and brown seaweeds such as Turbinaria ornate, Sargassum myriocystum, Gracilaria lichenoides, G. foliifera, G. corticata and Gelidiella acerosa (Umamaheswara Rao, unpublished). All these studies conducted so far on the periods of reproduction indicate that the fruiting behaviour varies in different plants growing along the Indian coast. Though reproduction was observed throughout the year in many cases, two fruiting seasons were seen for plants like Cystoseria indica and Gelidiella acerosa and one fruiting season for Turbinaria conoides, Sargassum wightii, Gracilaria verrucosa and some other algae studies by various workers.

In recent years some information has been collected on the spore out put and development of germlings in *Cystoseria indica* (Krishnamurthy and Mairh, 1967 and Mairh and Krishnamurthy, 1968), *Sargassum swartzii* (Chauhan and Krishnamurthy, 1967), *Ulva fasciata* (Subbaramaiah *et al.*, (1967), *Gracilaria verrucosa* (Oza and Krishnamurthy, 1967, 1968) and in *Gelidiella acerosa* (Sreenivasa Rao, 1969). The spore production per plant estimated by these authors is 1,15,34,400 spores for *Ulva fasciata*, 5,53,331 spores for *Sargassum swartzii* and 20,000 spores in *Gelidiella acerosa*. In *Cystoseria indica* the spore production per plant ranged from 5423 to 511252 spores during the fruiting periods of this alga

(Mairh and Krihnamurthy, 1968) and in *Gracilaria verrucosa* definite rhythm of spore liberation was reported during the six months period of this study (Oza and Krishnamurthy, 1968), with maximum liberation of carpospores in December and minimum in the month of May. The viability, settlement and development of these spores produced in large quantities in the natural habitats are controlled by many hydrobiological factors such as water movements, tidal exposure, water temperature, competition for space, predators or grazing organisms and only a small quantity of spores grow to the maximum size.

In order to investigate the possibilities of cultivating the seaweeds some preliminary work has also been done. At Porbandar culture experiments were conducted tying small plants of Sargassum cinctum, S. vulgare, S. swartzii and Gelidiella acerosa to coir nets (Thivy, 1964). In these experiments plants Sargassum cinctum with an initial height of 10.0 am had grown to a height of 37 to 52 cm within forty days. Excised pieces of *Ulva lactuca v. rigida* taken from the basal, middle, apical and marginal regions of the thallus were cultured in the laboratory for a period of one month with a view to utilizing the vegetative parts on the plants for propagation (Kale and Krishnamurthy, 1967). In these studies, maximum increase in the linear growth and breadth was observed in plant bits taken from the apical and marginal regions. Some preliminary culture experiments were conducted by Uma maheswara Rao (1968) with the fragments of Gracilaria corticata and Gracilaria lichenoides. Slow growth was observed for the first 45 days in the fragments of G corticata maintained in seawater aquaria and rapid increase in length of the fronds from 1.8 to 5.5 cm was recorded during the next 45 days. Experiments with G. lichenoides were carried out near Mandapam Jetty suspending two coir net frames of about 0.5 m<sup>2</sup> with small pieces of G lichenoides. Many new shoots developed from the cut ends of the plant bits and after two months the frames were covered with profusely branched plants of G lichenoides. The height of these plants varied from 14-16 cm and the two frames suspended in the sea gained a weight of 213 gm and 257 gm respectively at the end of two months. From these experiments it may be mentioned that the regenerating power is high in G corticata and G lichenoides and they grow rapidly by vegetative means to harvestable size within three of four months.

## VIII SEAWEED RESOURCES AND THEIR EXPLOITATION ON A COMMERCIAL SCALE

Seaweed growing regions in India are given in the third chapter of this bulletin while discussing the distribution of seaweeds. Our present knowledge on the quantities of seaweeds available in these areas for commercial exploitation is very limited. Some surveys have been made to estimate the resources of the Chilka Lake (Mitra, 1946), certain areas of the Tamil Nadu (Koshy and John, 1948; Chacko and Malupillai, 1958; Thivy, 1960; Varma and Krishna Rao, 1964; Desai, 1967 and Uma maheswara Rao, 1968) and Gujarat coasts (Sreenivasa Rao *et al.*, 1964; Desai, 1967 and Chauhan and Krishnamurthy, 1968). Of these, studies of Mitra (1946), Koshy and John (1948), Chacko and Malupillai (1958) and Thivy (1960) may be treated as general or preliminary resources surveys and the methods adopted for estimation were not given by these authors. The total quantities of agarophytes and alginophytes estimated by these workers are shown below:

Locality	Agarophytes	Alginophytes	
	(Dry weight)	(Dry weight)	
Chilka Lake (Mitra, 1946)	4-5 tons	-	
Cape Comorin-Colachel			
(Koshy and John, 1948)	10,00 lbs	-	
Point Calimere – Cape Comorin	6,00 tons	60,000 tons	
Tamil Nadu coast			
(Thivy, 1960)	7.1 tons	-	

Studies were initiated in the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute during 1958 to survey the resources available in the vicinity of Mandapam. Two surveys were made (a preliminary one in 1958 and a detailed one in 1962-63) in 234.25 sq. km area between Dhanushkodi and Hare Island in the Gulf of Mannar (Varma and Krishna Rao, 1964). The whole area was divided into three sections namely, Hare Island section, Krusadai section and outside section covering the channel between the islands and mainland. The algal growth is very poor in the outer section and the results obtained from the Krusadai Island and Hare Island sections on harvestable wet and dry agar and algin-yielding plants are given below:

Details	Weight in metric tons		
	(1958)	(1962-63)	
Gracilarias			
Harvestable wet algae	188.85	334.90	
Harvestable dry algae	18.89	34.49	
Yeild of agar-agar	2.83	5.02	
Gelidiella acerosa (Gelidium micropterum)			
Harveatable wet algae	6.45	18.89	
Harvestable dry algae	0.65	1.89	
Yield of agar-agar	0.19	0.57	
Browns			
Harvestable wet algae	419.18	657.94	
Harvestable dry algae	62.88	98.69	
Yield of alginic acid	7.55	11.84	

Later, sample surveys were made during 1965 and 1966 selecting transects from shore to coral reef in a 3.58 sq. km area on the Palk Bay side of the coast line near Mandapam (Umamaheswara Rao, 1968). In this survey data on the standing crops of edible seaweeds and sea grasses have been collected for the first time, together with the information on the agar and algin-yielding seaweeds. Standing crops of different seaweeds estimated in 3.58 sq. km area between Pamban bridge and Theedai are presented below:

Seaweed		Fresh weight in metric tons		
		(1965)	(1966)	
Agarophytes		233.15	47.92	
Alginophytes		161.83	173.43	
Edible algae		188.84	245.91	
Other algae		457.87	398.51	
	Total	1041.69	864.77	

Except in agarophytes, significant change was not observed in standing crops of seaweeds estimated in the two years of this survey. *Agarophytes* like *G.lichenoides* have been harvested from Pamban bridge area since 1966

and the fall in the standing crop of agarophytes in 1966 may probably be due to collection of *G lichenoides* from this area, before carrying out the survey work. About one-fourth of the total area surveyed was covered by sea grass beds and the total standing crop in this area was higher than the total standing crop of seaweeds. Quantitative data obtained on sea grasses in the two sample survey are presented below:

Year	<b>Year</b> Areas covered by	
	Segrass (sq.km)	(Metric tons)
1965	0.75	1916.19
1966	0.88	2170.81

In the surveys carried out on Gujarat coast, 60 metric tons of fresh Sargassums have been estimated in 0.015 sq. km area of the Adatra reef, near Okha (Sreenivasa Rao *et al.*, 1964). Desai (1967) gave high estimates ranging from 300 to 5000 tons of dry agarophytes and algin-yeilding weeds for the Gulf of Kutch and Gulf of Mannar areas. Recently Chauhan and Krishnamurthy (1968) surveyed Dera, Goos, Nardra, Sikka, Karumbar and Baida areas of the Gulf of Kutch; estimated 18765.5 metric tons of fresh seaweeds in 10.65 sq. km area and out of which *Sargassum* alone accounts for 12,010.5 tons. From the results obtained these authors suggested that annually about 4000 metric tons of fresh Sargassums can be harvested from the Gulf of Kutch area.

Some efforts have been made to estimate the drift weeds. As early as 1918, Hornell estimated about 100 tons of fresh *Sargassum* cast ashore annually along the Gujarat coast. Krishnamurthy *et al.* (1967) reported the data obtained on different drift weeds collected at Pamban and Idindakarai for a period of three months. An account on the different methods used in assessing the seaweed resources was given by Subrahmanyan (1967).

The above surveys conducted recently in limited areas of the east and west coasts of India clearly indicate the abundance of seaweed resources in the country. Detailed surveys in the other areas of the coastline would throw much light on the resources occurring in the natural habitats and on the raw material available for commercial utilization.

At present the areas exploited for this marine resources are also confined to certain localities on the Tamil Nadu and Gujarat coasts. Commercial harvesting of seaweeds has been started since 1966 near Pamban, Kilakarai and other places along the Tamil Nadu coast and *Gracilaria lichenoides*, *Gelidiella acerosa and Sargassum* species are harvested from these areas. Some data collected (Umamaheswara Rao, 1968) on the quantities of seaweeds harvested from Pamban, Periyapatnam and Kilakarai are shown in Table 10.

Table 10

Seaweeds harvested from three localities around

Mandapam (Metric tons)

(From Umamaheswara Rao, 1968)

Year	Pamban	Periyapatnam	Kilakarai	Total Dry weight	Total fresh weight**	
1966	15.19	-	-	15.19	75.95	
1967	18.33	65.55	58.07	141.97	709.85	
1968*	16.59	8.00	304.65	329.24	1646.20	

<sup>\*</sup> Till October 1968.

The agar and algin seaweed industry is established in the country in recent years and some private firms in Gujarat and Tamil Nadu states have started production of agar and algin utilizing the raw materials collected along the Indian coast. However, for some time export trade is also developed and data given below show the quantities of agarophytes (mostly *Gelidiella acerosa*) exported from India during the three years period from 1966 to 1968 (From Seafood Exports Journal).

Year	Quantity (metric tons)	Value (Rs.)
1966	162.61	4,17,677
1967	198.04	7,40,542
1968	92.23	2,13,732

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fresh weight was estimated based on 80% moisture.

Export of this commodity is very low in 1968 and no data is available for 1969. These changes may be due to recent restrictions on the export of seaweeds. From the above information on seaweed resources it may be mentioned that there is vast scope for expanding the seaweed industry in the country. Intensive surveys for a long period in all seaweed growing areas of the east and west coasts of India are very essential to achieve this goal.

#### IX CONCLUSION

From the forgoing account it may be mentioned that considerable amount of data on different aspects, particularly on the chemistry of Indian seaweeds, have been collected during the last ten or fifteen years. Sufficient information is now available on the utilization of seaweeds for various purposes. However, our knowledge on the seaweed populations occurring along the Indian coast is very limited and the quantities of seaweeds available in certain restricted areas of the Tamil Nadu and Gujarat coasts have been surveyed so far. Special efforts should be made to explore the different parts of the Indian coast, including Laccadives, Andaman and Nicobar Islands and to estimate the harvestable quantities of agarophytes, alginophytes and other important seaweeds growing in these areas.

Our knowledge on the taxonomy of seaweeds is still incomplete and detailed studies have not been made on the algal flora of sublitoral regions, particularly in Laccadives, Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Much work is needed in this direction and accounts on local floras have to be prepared for proper identification of the various seaweeds of commercial importance.

Collection of seaweeds on a large scale has been started during the last four or five years and agar and algin are being manufactured from the processes developed in the country. More detailed chemical studies are necessary in the near future to improve the quality of agar and algin, to manufacture different grades of agar and align needed by the consumer industry and also to know more about the other carbohydrates present in the red, brown and green algae and the seasonal changes in the extractive contents.

Results obtained on the chemical analysis of Indian seaweeds have shown that some common red and green algae are good sources for proteins, Vitamin-C, iodine etc. and that large quantities of minerals and trace elements needed for growth occur in water soluble form. Attempts must, therefore, be made in future to process the seaweeds rich in protein and other chemicals for preparing different types of seaweed foods for human consumption. Experimental studies are also necessary for utilizing these

seaweeds as live-stock and poultry feed.

Studies on the ecology, spore prduction and other biological aspects have brought to light some interesting facts about the growth cycles, fruiting reasons and spore liberating mechanisms. The growth cycles of the plants varied from one locality to the other and definite fruiting seasons were observed for certain brown and red algae investigated. Thorough investigations on the autecology and biology of the commercially important seaweed genera such as *Gracilaria*, *Gelidiella*, *Sargassum*, *Turbinaria*, *Ulva* and *Porphyra* growing in different localitites, laboratory and field experiments on the growth and reproductive behaviour of the algae must be carried out for proper utilization of the available resources and for large-scale cultivation of the economically important seaweeds in the country.

The author is extremely greatful to Dr. R.V. Nair, Director of this Institute for the kind encouragement and for all the facilites afforded for preparing this bulletin. He wishes to express his sincere thanks to Shri K. Virabhadra Rao for going through the manuscript and for giving helpful suggestions. His thanks are also due to Shri. S. Kaimuthu and other colleaguss for their assistance in the preparation of this bulletin.

#### REFERENCES

Agharkar, S.P. 1923. The present position of our knowledge of the aquatic flora of India. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **3**: 252-260

Ahmed, M.K. 1966. Studies on *Gracilaria* Grey of the Chilka Lake *Orissa Fish. Res. Invest. Bull.* No.1:46-53

Balakrishnan, M.S. 1946. The developmental morphology and cytology of *Grateloupia lithophila* Boerfs. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, (M.O.P.Iyengar Commemo. Vol.): 205-212.

Biswas, K. 1932. Census of Indian algae. Scope of algological studies in India. *Revue Algol.*, **6**: 197-219.

Biswas, K. 1934. Progress of algological studies in India. *Curr. Sci.*, **3**: 237-241.

Biswas, K. 1948. A general review of the marine algae of the western coast of India. *Jour. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc.*, **45**: 515-530.

Black, W.A.P. 1954. Constituents of the marine algae. Ann. Rep. Chem. Soc., 50: 322-335.

Boergesen, F. 1930. Some Indian green and brown algae especially from the shores of the Presidency of Bombay. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **9**: 151-174.

Boergesen, F. 1931. Some Indian Rhodophyceae especially from the shores of the presidency of Bombay I. *Kew Bull.*, No. 1: 1-24.

Boergesen, F. 1932 a. Some Indian Rhodophyceae especially from the shores of the presidency of Bombay II. *Kew Bull.*, No. **3**: 113-134.

Boergesen, F. 1932 a. Some Indian green and brown algae especially from the presidency of Bombay. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **11**: 51-70.

Boergesen, F. 1933 a. Some Indian Rhodophyceae especially from the presidency of Bombay III. *Kew Bull.*, No. **3**: 113-142.

Boergesen, F. 1933 b. Some Indian green and brown algae from the presidency of Bombay. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **12**: 1-16.

Boergesen, F. 1934 a. Some Indian Rhodophyceae especially from the presidency of Bombay III. *Kew Bull.*, No. 1: 1-30.

Boergesen, F. 1934 b. Some marine algae from the northern part of the Arabian Sea with remarks on their geographical distribution . *Kgl. Danske. Vidensk. Selskab.*, *Biol. Meddel.*, **10**: 1-72.

Boergesen, F. 1935. A list of marine algae from Bombay. *Kgl. Danske. Vidensk. Selskab.*, *Biol. Meddel.*, **12**: 1-64.

Boergesen, F. 1937 a. Contributions to a South Indian Marine Algal flora. I. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **16**: 1-56.

Boergesen, F. 1937 b. Contributions to a South Indian Marine Algal flora. II. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **16**: 311-357.

Boergesen, F. 1938. Contributions to a South Indian Marine Algal flora. III. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **17**: 205-242.

Bose, J.L., Karimullah and S.Siddique 1943. Manufacture of agar in India. Jour. Sci. Indust. Res., 1:98

Cameron, M.C., A.G. Ross and E.G.V. Percival 1948. Methods for the routine estimation of mannitol, alginic acid and combined fucose in seaweeds. *Jour. Soc. Chem. Indust.*, **67**: 161-164.

Chacko, P.I., S. Mahadevan and R. Ganesan 1955. A guide to the field study of the fauna and flora of Krusadai Island, Gulf of Mannar. *Contr. Mar. biol. St. Krusadai Island*, **3**: 1-16.

Chacko, P.I., and M. Malu Pillai 1958. Studies on utilization of the seaweed resources of Madras State. *Contr. Mar. biol. St. Krusadai Island*, **6**: 1-12.

Chakraborty, D. 1945. Agar – agar manufacture from *Gracilaria confervoides*. *Jour. Proc. Inst. Chem.* (India) **17**: 188.

Chauhan, V.D. and V. Krishnamurthy 1967. Observations on the output of oospores, their liberation, viability and germination in *Sargassum swartzii*. (Turn.) C. Ag. *Proc. Semi. Sea Salt and Plants* CSMCRI, Bhavanagar, pp. 197-201.

Chauhan, V.D. and V. Krishnamurthy 1968. An estimate of algin bearing seaweeds in the Gulf of Kutch. *Curr. Sci.*, **37**: 648.

Chidambaram, K and M.M. Unny 1947. Notes on the value of seaweeds as manure. *Madras Agri. Jour.* (July).

Chidambaram, K and M.M. Unny 1953. . Notes on the value of seaweeds as manure.  $I^{st}$  international Seaweed Symp., pp. 67-68.

Dave, H.M., V. Sitakara Rao and U.K. Tipnis 1969. Iodine content of Marine Algae from Sourashtra coast. *Phykos*, **8**: 68-70.

Desai, B.N. 1967. Seaweed resources and extraction of Alginate and Agar. *Proc. Semi. Sea Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 343-351.

Dhandhukia, M.M and R. Seshadri 1969. Arsenic content in Marine algae. *Phykos*, 8: 108-111.

Doshi, Y.A. and P. Sreenivasa Rao 1967 a. Stable agar by gamma irradiation. *Nature*, **216**: 931.

Doshi, Y.A. and P. Sreenivasa Rao 1967 b. Radiation induced enhancement of gel strength in Red seaweeds. *Indian Jour. Chem.*, **5**: 342-343.

Doshi, Y.A., P.V. Raju and P. Sreenivasa Rao 1968. A relation between the sulphate content in red seaweeds and the gel strength of agar. *Sci. Cul.*, **34**: 493.

Gopalakrishnan, P. 1969. Some marine algae from the Gulf of Kutch *Phykos*, **8**: 61-67.

Hornell, J. 1918. Report on the further development of Fishery resources of Baroda State.

Humm, H.J. 1951. The red algae of economic importance: Agar and related phycocolloids in *Marine Products of Commerce* (Ed. Tressler, D K.) New York.

Iyengar, M.O.P. 1957. Algology in progress of Science in India. Sn. VI. Botany. *Natn. Inst. Sci. India*, New Delhi, 229-251.

Joseph, I and S. Mahadevan 1948. Production of agar-agar. *Dept. Res. Univ. Travancore, Rep. for Septen.*, pp 55-60.

Joseph, I., K. Ganapathy and S. Ramamurthy 1948. Recoverable iodine from Indian *Sargassum. Dept. Res. Univ. Travancore Rep. for Septen.*, pp. 60-61.

Joshi, A.C. 1949. Indian Botany, present position and prospects. Presidential Adress. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, **28**: 1-15.

Kale, S.R and V. Krishnamurthy 1967. The growth of excised pieces of thallus of *Ulva lactuca* var. *rigida* in laboratory culture. *Proc. Semi. Sea Salt and Plants* CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 234-239.

Kappanna, A.N. and V. Sitakara Rao 1962. Iodine content of marine algae from Gujarat coast. *Jour. Sci. Indust. Res.*, *India*, **21**: 559-560.

Kappanna A.N., A. Visweswara Rao and I.C. Mody 1962. Alginic acid content of some of the brown seaweeds of Sourashtra coast. *Curr. Sci.*, **31**: 463-464.

Kappanna A.N., A. Visweswara Rao 1963. Preparation and properties of agar-agar from Indian seaweeds. *Indian Jour. Tech.*, **1**: 224.

Karunakar, P.D., M.S. Raju and S. Varadarajan 1948. Manufacture of agar-agar from seaweed, *Gracilaria lichenoides*. *Indian Vet. Jour.*, **24**: 274.

Koshi, T.K. and C.C. john 1948. Survey of *Gracilaria* resources of Travancore. *Dept. Res. Univ. Travancore, Rep. for Septen.*, pp. 53-55.

Krishnamurthy, V. 1954. Ecology and seasonal succession of the algal flora of a salt marsh at Madras. *Jour. Madras Univ.*, B. **24**: 161-178.

Krishnamurthy, V and O.P Mairh 1967. Some observations on the shedding of oospores, germination and germlings of *Cystoseria*. *Proc. Semi. Sea. Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 190-196.

Krishnamurthy, V., R. Venugopal, J.G. Thiagaraj and H.N. Shah 1967. Estimating drift seaweeds on Indian coasts. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 315-320.

Langalia, J.K., K. Seshadri and D.S. Datar 1967. The alkai contents of the marine algae. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 289-295.

Lewis, E.J. 1962 a. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminaocid contents in some species of *Padina* from South-eastern coast of India. *Curr. Sci.*, **31**: 90-92.

Lewis, E.J. 1962 b. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminoacid contents in some species of brown algae from South-eastern coast of India. *Revue Algol.*, **6**: 209-216.

Lewis, E.J. 1963 a. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminocid contents in some species of marine algae from South-eastern coast of India. *Revue Algol.*, 7: 15-25.

Lewis, E.J. 1963 b. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminaocid contents in some species of *Acanthophora* from South-eastern coast of India. *Revue Algol.*, **7**: 237-241.

Lewis, E.J. 1963 c. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminoacid contents in some species of red algae from South-eastern coast of India. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, **29**: 137-145.

Lewis, E.J. 1963 d. Studies on fortnightly analysis of the proteins, peptides, and free aminoacids contents in some marine brown algae from Bombay. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, **29**: 263-286.

Lewis, E.J. 1963 a. A review of proteins, peptides, and free aminocid contents of Indian marine algae. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 296-308.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1959 a. Studies on the free aminoacid contents of some marine algae from Bomabay. *Jour. Univ. Bombay*, **28**: 1-5.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1959 b. Aminoacid contents of the erect and creeping fronds of species of *Caulerpa* from Bombay, *Jour. Mar. biol. Ass. India*, **1**: 54-56.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1959 c. Studies on the free aminoacid contents of species of *Caulerpa* from Bombay. *Jour. Mar. biol. Ass. India*, **1**: 203-205.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1960. Aminoacid contents of some marine algae from Bombay. *New Phytol.*, **59**: 109-115.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1962 a. Studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminoacid in cystocarpic and tetrasporic plants of *Agardhiella robusta* from Bombay. *New Phytol.*, **61**: 288-290.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1962 b. The protein, peptides, and aminoacid contents in some species of marine algae from Bombay. *Ann. Bot. N.S.* **26**: 301-316.

Lewis, E.J. and E.A. Gonzalves 1962 C. Periodic studies on the proteins, peptides, and free aminoacid in *Enteromorpha prolifera* f. *capillaries* ans *ulva lactuca* var. *rigida*. *Ann. Bot. N.S.*, **26**: 317-327.

Mahonty, G.B. 1956. Fishery hyproducts industry in India – seaweeds. *In progress of Fisheries Development in India*, Cuttack.

Mairh, O.P. 1967. Observations on the essential phenomena in the life-cycle of *Cystoseria* occurring at Port Okha on the Gujatat coast. *Phykos*, **6**: 78-83.

Mairh, C.P. and V. Krishnamurthy 1968. Observations on the germination of spores and growth of germlings in *Cystoseria*. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, 47: 256-263.

Mehta, V.C., B.S. Trivedi, K.K. Bokil and M.R. Narayana 1967. Seaweeds as manure – 1. Studies on nitrification. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 357-365.

Misra, J.N. 1960. The ecology, distribution and seasonal succession of the littoral algae on the west coast of India. *Proc. Symp. Algology*, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 187-203.

Misra, J.N. 1966. *Phaeophyceae in India.*, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 1-203.

Mitra, G. 1946. Development of Chilka Lake, Cuttack.

Neela, M.V. 1956. Analysis of seaweeds. Home Sci. Bull. Women's Christian Coll., Madras.

Oza, R.M. and V. Krishnamurthy 1967. Carpospore germination and early stages of development in *Gracilaria verrucosa* (Huds.) Papenf. *Phykos*, **6**: 84-86.

Oza, R.M. and V. Krishnamurthy 1968. Studies onf Carposporic rhythm of *Gracilaria verrucosa* (Huds.) Papenf. *Bot. Mar.*, **11**: 118-121.

Parekh, R.G. and A. Visweswara Rao 1964. Extraction of bulk proteins from the green seaweed, *Ulva rigida. Indian Jour. Tech.*, **2**: 387.

Parija, P and B. Parija 1946. Algal succession on a rocky island named Charai guha in the Chilka Lake. *Jor. Indian bot. Soc.* (M.O.P Iyengar Commemo. Val.): pp. 375-379.

Patel, B.A. and G.V. Joshi 1967. Seasonal variations in chemical composition in *Ulva lactuca* and Seawater. *Indian Jour. Exp. Biol.*, **5**: 236-238.

Pericival, E 1968. Marine algal carbohydrates. *Oceanogr. Mar. biol. Ann. Rev.*, **6**: 137-161.

Pillai, V.K. 1955 a. Obsrvations on the ionic composition of blue-green algae growing in saline lagoons. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India.*, **21**: 90-102.

Pillai, V.K. 1955 b. Utilization of natural by products for the cultivation of blue-green algae. *Curr. Sci.*, **24**: 21

Pillai, V.K. 1955 c. Water soluble constituents of *Gracilaria lichenoides*. *Jour. Sci. Indust. Res.*, **14** B: 473-477.

Pillai, V.K. 19556. Chemical studies on Indian seaweeds I. Mineral constituents. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, B. **44**: 3-29.

Pillai, V.K. 1957 a. Chemical studies on Indian seaweeds II. Partition of Nitrogen. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, B. **45**: 43-63.

Pillai, V.K. 1957 b. Chemical studies on Indian seaweeds III. Partition of Sulphur *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, B. **45**: 101-121.

Pillai, V.K. 1957 c. Alginic acid from *Sargassum* seaweeds. *Res. Indust.*, **2**: 70-71.

Pillai, V.K. 1964. Studies on the use of alginates in frozen fishery products. *Fishery Tech.*, **1**: 176-179.

Prakasa Rao, C.S. 1946. A contribution to the morphology and life-history of *Sargassum tenerrimum* j. Ag. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, **23**: 39-51.

Ramanathan, K.R. 1939. The morphology, cytology and alternation of generations in *Enteromorpha compressa* (L.) Grey. Var. *ligulata* (J. Ag.) Hauck. Ann. Bot., **3**: 375-398.

Rama rao, K and V. Krishnamurthy 1968. Study of the preparation and properties of phycocolloid from *Hypnea musciformis* (Wuelf) Lamour. From Veraval, Gujarat coast. *Bot. Mar.*, **11**: 129-133.

Randhawa, M.S. 1960. Historical review, address in *Proc. Symp. Algology*, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 4-24.

Sadasivan Pillai, K. 1961. Alginic acid from *Sargassum* seaweeds of Indian coast – its extraction on a cottage industry basis. *Chemical age of India*, **12**: 425-430.

Sadasivan Pillai, K and N.S. Varier 1952. Studies on the structure of alginic acid from the *Sargassum* seaweeds of Cape Comorin *Jour. Proc. Inst. Chem..*, (India)**24**: 205.

Shah, H.N., I.C. Mody and A. Visweswara Rao 1967. Seasonal variation of viscosity of sodium alginate from *Sargassum* species and the preparation of high viscosity alginates. *Indian jour. Tech.*, **5**: 269-270.

Sitakara Rao, V and U.K. Tipnis 1964. Protein content of marine algae from Gujarat coast. *Curr. Sci.*, **33**: 16-17.

Sitakara Rao, V and U.K. Tipnis 1967. Chemical composition of marine algae from Gujarat coast. *Proc. Semi. Sea. Salt. and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavanagar, pp. 277-288.

Sreenivasa Rao, P. 1969. Systematics, ecology and life-history of Indian Gelidiales with special reference to Agarophyts *Gelidiella acerosa* (Forskal) Feldmann et Hamel. *Salt Res. Ind.*, **6**: 46-47.

Sreenivasa Rao, P., E.R.R. Iyengar and F. Thivy 1964. Survey of algin bearing seaweeds at Adatra reef, Okha. *Curr. Sci.*, **33**: 464-465.

Sreenivasa Rao, P. and S.R. Kale 1969. Marine algae from little known place of Gujarat coast. I. Algae from Gopnath. *Pykos*, **8**: 71-82.

Srinivasan, K.S. 1946. Ecology and seasonal succession of the marine algae at Mahabalipuram (Seven Pagodas) near Madras. *Jour. Indian bot. Soc.*, (M.O.P. Iyengar Commemo. Vol.), pp. 267-278.

Srinivasan, K.S. 1960. Distribution patterns of marine algae in Indian seas. *Proc. Symp. Algology*, ICAR, New Delhii, pp. 219-242.

Srinivasan, K.S. 1965. Indian botany in retrospect with particular reference to algal systematics. *Jour. Asiatic Soc. Bengal*, **7**: 49-78.

Srinivasan, K.S. 1966. Conspectus of *Sargassum* species from Indian territorial waters. *Pykos*, **5**: 127-129.

Srinivasan, R and T. Santhanaraja 1967. Studies on the extraction and properties of agar-agar from the seaweed *Gracilaria* species in Madras State. *Madras Jour. Fish.*, **3**: 146-151.

Subbaramaiah, K 1967. Ascorbic acid contents and growth in *Ulva fasciota* Delile. *Phykos*, **6**: 115-117.

Subbaramaiah, K., S.R. Kale and V. Krishnamurthy 1967. Gametes and germlings of *Ulva fasciata* Delile. *Curr. Sci.*, **36**: 128.

Subrahmanyan, R. 1967. Methods of assessing seaweed resources and problems. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 311-314.

Taylor, W.R. 1964. The genus *Turbinaria* in eastern seas. *Jour Linn. Soc. London* (Botany), **58**: 475-490.

Tewari, A., M. Prasada Rao and V. Krishnamurthy 1968. Chemical composition of a species *Porphyra* from Visakhapatnam, S. India. *Curr. Sci.*, **37**: 138.

Thivy, F. 1951. Investigations of seaweed products in India with a note on properties of various Indian agars. *Proc. Indo-Paci. Fish. Council*, Sec. **2**: 173-175.

Thivy, F. 1958. Economic seaweeds. In Fisheries of West Coast of India, Banglore.

Thivy, F. 1960. Seaweed utilization in India. *Proc. Symp. Algology*, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 345-365.

Thivy, F. 1964. Marine algal cultivation in India. Salt. Res. Ind., 1: 23-28.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1967. Seaweed resources of India. *Souvenir*, CMFRI, pp. 125-129.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1968. The seaweed potential of the seas around India. *Symp. Living Resources of the seas around India*, Cochin, Dec. 7-10.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1969 a. Catalogue of marine algae in the reference collection of the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute. *Bull. cent. Mar. Fish. Res. Inst.*, **9**: 37-48.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1969 b. Agar and algin yielding seaweeds of India . *Proc.* 6<sup>th</sup> *Internatl. Seaweed Symp.*, pp. 715-721.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1969 c. Seasonal variation in growth, alginic acid and mannitol contents of *Sargassum wightii* and *Turbinaria conoides* from the Gulf of Mannar, India, *Proc.* 6<sup>th</sup> *Internatl. Seaweed Symp.*, pp. 559-584.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1969 d. Coral reef flora of the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. *Symp. Corals and Coral Reefs, Mar. biol. Ass. India*, Mandapam Camp, January 12-14.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1970 a. We should make more use of seaweed. *Indian Farming*, **19**: 35-37.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. 1970 b. Ecological observations on some intertidal algae of Mandapam coast. *Symp. Mar. Intertidal Ecology*, Waltair, January, 22-24.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. and T. Sreeramulu 1963. Vertical zonation and seasonal variation in the growth of *Porphyra* on Visakhapatnam coast. *Curr. Sci.*, **32**: 173-174.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. and T. Sreeramulu 1964. An ecological study of some marine algae of the Visakhapatnam coast. *Jour. Ecol.*, **52**: 595-616.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. and T. Sreeramulu 1968. Recolonization of algae on denuded rocky surfaces of the Visakhapatnam coast. *Bot. Mar.*, **11**: 122-126.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. and T. Sreeramulu 1970 a. An annotated list of the marine algae of Visakhapatnam (India). *Bot Jour. Linn. Soc.*, **63**: 23-45.

Umamaheswara Rao, M. and T. Sreeramulu 1970 b. The fruiting behaviours of some marine algae at Visakhapatnam coast. *Bot. Mar.* **13**: 47-49.

Unni, C.K. 1967. Natutral radio activity of marine algae. *Proc. Semi. Sea, Salt and Plants*, CSMCRI, Bhavnagar, pp. 265-273.

Valson, A.P. 1955. Alginic acid content of some of the common seaweeds of the Gulf of Mannar area. *Curr. Sci.*, **24**: 343.

Varier, N.S and K. Sadasivan Pillai 1952. Mannitol from *Sargassum* seaweeds. II. Optimum conditions for extraction of alginic acid from *Sargassum* seaweeds of Cape Comorin. *Bull. cent. Res. Inst.*, **2**: 39.

Varma, R.P. 1959. Studies on the succession of marine algae on a fresh substratum in Palk Bay. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, B. **49**: 245-263.

Varma, R.P. 1961. Flora of the pearl beds off Tuticorin. *Jour. Mar.biol. Ass. India*, 2: 221-225.


Varma, R.P. and K. Krishna Rao 1964. Algal resources of Pamban area. *Indian Jour. Fish.*, 9: 205-211.

Visweswara Rao, A. 1964. Protein from Ulva. Salt. Res. Ind., 1: 37.

Visweswara Rao, A. and I.C. Mody 1964. Extraction of alginic acid and alginates from brown seaweeds. *Indian Jour. Tech.*, **3**: 261.

Visweswara Rao, A., K.N. Patel and H.N. Shah 1965. Manufacture of aga-agar- from red seaweeds. *Res. Ind.*, **10**: 131-133.

Yaphe, W. 1959. The determination of Kappa carageenin as a factor in the classification of Rhodophyceae. *Canad. Jour. Bot.*, **37**: 751-757.



Figs. 1—9.

1—2. Enteromorpha compressa.

1. habit of a plant × 0.5;

2. transverse section of the thallus showing one cell thick membrane × 100;

3. Ulva reticulata. habit of part of a plant × 0.75;


4. Ulva fasciata. habit of a plant × 0.5;

5. Ulva lactuca transverse section showing two cells thick thallus × 260;


6. Chaetomorpha antennina. plant habit × 1;

7. Caulerpa racemosa. plant showing the erect fronds with subspherical branchlets × 0.5;


8. Caulerpa taxifolia. plant habit × 0.5.



Figs. 10—19. 10. Caulerpa. transverse section of rhizome showing the trabeculae ×15; 11. Codium. sectional view of the thallus with filamentous central part and marginal vesicles ×20; 12—13. Dictyota dichotoma. 12. habit of part of a plant ×0.6; 13. sectional view of the thallus ×95; 14. Padina gymnospora. plant habit × 0.6; 15. Padina tetrastromatica. transverse section of thallus showing regular arrangement of cells and the sporangia on either side of the hairs ×75; 16—17. Colpomenia sinuosa. 16. habit of a plant ×0.5; 17. sectional view of the thallus showing the parenchymatous nature of irregular arrangement of cells × 70; 18. Hydroclathrus calthratus. habit of part of the thallus × 0.75; 19. Chnoospora minima. plant habit ×0.75.



Figs. 20-27. 20. Hormophysa triquetra. part of a plant showing angular and winged nature of the thallus ×0.6; 21-22. Cystophyllum muricatum. 21. basal portion of the plant with muricated stems ×0.6; 22. seriate bladders or vesicles ×0.5; 23. Turbinaria. leaves with receptacles and immersed vesicle ×1.3; 24. Sargassum tenerrimum. inflorescence with vesicles, receptacles and leaves ×1.5; 25. Gelidiella acerosa. axis showing swollen branchlets with tetrasporangia × 3; 26. Grateloupia lithophila. transverse section of thallus showing filamentous medulla and vertical rows of cortical cells ×75; 27. Hypnea sp. transverse section of thallus showing the central axial cell × 75.



Figs. 28—38. 28. Hypnea sp. zonate tetrasporangia ×150; 29. Sarconema furcellatum. transverse section of thallus showing medulla of small cells at the centre ×150; 30. Gracilaria verrucosa sectional view of the frond showing large medullary cells at the centre ×60; 31 Grateloupia lithophila. habit of a plant ×0.5; 32—33. Centroceras clavulatum. 32. part of a filament with whorls of spines at each node ×50; 33. longitudinal section of the filament showing the central axial cell and outer cortical cells ×125; 34. Spyridia filamentosa. terminal part of the plant with lateral branches and one cell thick branchlets ×8; 35 Spyridia fusiformis. one cell thick branchlet showing cortical bands and tetrasporangia ×220; 36-37. Acanthophora spicifera. 36. Apical part of the plant showing spinous branchlets × 8; 37. branchlet with tetrasporangia and trichoblasts × 25; 38. Laurencia papillosa. habit of part of a plant ×1.2.




Plate I. 1-2. Gracilaria lichenoides. 1. plants growing on a dead coral stone  $\times 0.1$ .

- 2. habit of a plant  $\times 0.25$ .
- 3. Gelidiella acerosa, habit of a plant  $\times 0.5$ .
- 4. Gracilaria crassa. plant habit  $\times 0.3$ .
- 5. Turbinaria conoides. habit of part of a plant  $\times 0.3$ .




Plate II. 6. Cystophyllum muricatum. habit of a plant ×0.2

- 7. Sargassum wightii. small vegetative plant with air bladders ×0.3
- 8. Hypnea sp. general view of the plant ×0.5
- 9. Gracilaria corticata. habit of part of a plant ×0.5
- 10. Gracilaria foliifera. plant habit ×0.3
- 11. Porphyra vietnamensis plant habit ×0.25


#### **APPENDIX**

# C.M.F.R.I. – Cottage industry method for the Extraction of agar from *Gracilaria* species

(From Thivy, 1960) Gracilaria (bleached weed, 100 g) Cleaning by abrasion in a stone mortar to remove-sand and other traces of foreign matter Soaking the weed In 6-8 litres of soft water For 24 hours Wet grinding the weed in a stone mortar into pulp using soft water Leaching the pulp in soft water of about 6-7 litres, for a period of 24 hours **Seperation of pulp** by filtering with organdy cloth Dry seaweed powder **Extraction** At 90°C in 3 litres of soft water for 1-2 hours Filtering the hot extract with 2-3 layers of cloth Second extract Sedimentation of suspended impurities in the solution Sediment Cooling the agar solution at room temperature Shredding of agar gel and Sun-drying the gel strips On plastic netting AGAR-AGAR


#### Method for agar manufacture on a commercial scale

(From Visweswara Raoet al., 1965)




### Process for extraction of alginic acid

(From Pillai, 1957 c)



# Flow sheet for the preparation of Calcium alginate on a Cottage-industry basis

(From Sadasivan Pillai, 1961)



**CALCIUM ALGINATE** 

-Washing with water

## Methods for extraction of Sodium alginate, Calcium alginate and Alginic acid

(From Visweswara Rao & Mody, 1964)

